Prospects for Soviet Oil Production
A Supplemental Analysis

ER 77-10425
July 1977

Approved For Release 2001/04/27 : CIA-RDP79B00457A000600090001-8

Approved For Release 2001/04/27 : CIA-RDP79B00457A000600090001-8

Prospects for Soviet Oil Production
A Supplemental Analysis

Central Intelligence Agency
Directorate of Intelligence

July 1977

Overview

This report is a compilation of some of the data and analysis employed in the recent CIA study on the Soviet oil industry. The study concluded that Soviet oil production will soon peak, possibly as early as next year and certainly not later than the early 1980s. The maximum output reached is likely to be between 11 and 12 million barrels per day (b/d)–up from the 1976 level of 10.4 million b/d. Maximum levels are not likely to be maintained for long, however.

The Soviet Government is certainly aware of problems in increasing and sustaining oil production. Its own analysis emphasizes that the costs of finding and developing oil are rising dramatically. The Soviets apparently believe that they can avoid the downturn we predict. We disagree. We believe that even though great efforts will provide them with considerable oil, they cannot prevent the downturn.

Soviet efforts to solve the oil problem are reflected in the rapid increase in purchases of oil equipment abroad. Since 1971, Soviet orders for Western oil and gas equipment have totaled about $3.1 billion. An additional $4 billion worth of steel pipe has been bought. Plans to convert the giant Samotlor field as well as smaller West Siberian fields to gas-lift production could sharply escalate Soviet equipment purchases. The Samotlor project alone would require at least $1 billion in imported equipment.

Imported equipment can only slow the rate of decline in oil production once it begins. In large measure this reflects the deeply rooted nature of the oil problem. The forced-draft approach to achieving production targets, for example, has been expensive in terms of exploration and of recovery rates in producing fields. As a result, proved reserves have stagnated since the early 1970s, and no large finds have been made since the Samotlor

Note: This memorandum provides a supplemental analysis for the CIA publication ***Prospects for Soviet Oil Production***, April 1977, Unclassified.

field was located in 1965. Only by working this field harder than any other major oilfield in the world have the Soviets been able to come close to their production targets.

At this point the Soviet Union has opted to continue its past approach. Any shift to exploration drilling would entail drilling fewer production wells and an immediate and sharp fall-off in current production. Indeed, the pressure to focus more heavily on development drilling will intensify because of the large capacity additions needed to offset depletion of old oil fields and to provide for planned increases in production. By the Soviets' own calculations, depletion offsets alone in 1976-80 will equal total capacity additions during 1971-75. To add the capacity needed to meet 1980 production goals, the Soviets will have to increase their rate of development drilling 50 percent between 1976 and 1980.

The Soviets are examining a variety of techniques to forestall the production decline. The prospects of such methods having more than an insignificant impact during the time period of our analysis are negligible, however. Soviet production practices make it difficult to implement tertiary recovery procedures, because their massive water flood techniques adversely affect oil-reservoir permeability. Given the widespread damage inflicted on major oil reservoirs, the Soviets will find it difficult to increase recovery rates more than a few percentage points over the long term with tertiary methods.

The difficulties the Soviets face on the oil front do not stem from any lack of resource commitment on their part. Indeed, measured by the resource cost in terms of material and manpower, the USSR may expend as much effort on producing oil as all Free World countries combined. Because of the low productivity of this effort, however, the results are only a fraction of those in the West. For example, US firms drilled five times as much meterage as did the Soviet Oil Ministry with about the same number of rigs. For 1976, the Soviet Oil Ministry required some 800,000 employees to produce 10.4 million b/d of oil.

Plans and Plan Fulfillment

From World War II until the early 1970s, the Soviet record in oil production was enviable. Plan production goals were consistently met or exceeded at only a small cost in additional effort. Production in 1970 was 350 million tons (7 million b/d), more than nine times the 38 million tons (760,000 b/d) output of 1950. This great increase in production was accomplished without anything like a commensurate increase in inputs. Over the 20-year period, the amount of drilling rose only about 210 percent and the number of rigs in active use only 57 percent, from 1,119 to 1,760.

This rapid growth in oil industry productivity was made possible only by the discovery of extremely rich and accessible oil deposits in the Urals-Volga region, where output grew from 5 million tons (100,000 b/d) in 1950 to 210 million tons (4.2 million b/d) in 1970. During 1937-55, the Soviets found and developed several of the world's largest and richest fields in this region. Two of them--Romashkino and Arlan--contained as much recoverable oil (19 billion barrels or 2.5 billion tons) as the combined total of the 10 largest fields ever discovered in the lower 48 states of the United States. At its peak in 1970, Romashkino produced 82 million tons (1.63 million b/d), 23 percent of total Soviet output in that year. Since that time, Romashkino's output has been maintained at about 80 million tons (1.6 million b/d).

Since the mid-1950s the size of discoveries in the Urals-Volga has fallen off sharply. Growth in output from this region slowed dramatically in the early 1970s, as all of the large fields found in the 1940s and 1950s had been fully developed.

During 1972-75 original output goals were not met. In 1975, despite the largest absolute annual increase in oil production (including gas condensate) ever achieved, total Soviet oil output fell short of the original target by about 14 million tons (280,000 b/d), or 2.8 percent. The average annual rate of growth rate in oil production planned for 1971-75 was 7.4 percent, but the actual growth rate was only 6.8 percent. The four-year trend of underfulfillment apparently continued in 1976 with a slight shortfall, although detailed data have not been reported.

During this period of oil production shortfalls, several of the older producing regions--the Ukraine, North Caucasus, and Azerbaydzhan--registered declines in output, and production in the Urals-Volga levelled off. Only by overfulfilling production goals in West Siberia was the USSR able to come close to the national targets during 1972-75. Original plans called for West Siberia to produce 120-125 million tons (2.4-2.5 million b/d) in 1975; actual output was 148 million tons, almost 3 million b/d.

A large share of the overfulfillment in West Siberia was provided by the rapid development of the Samotlor field. This giant field, roughly comparable in size to Romashkino, has accounted for 24-26 million tons (480,000-520,000 b/d) of the 30-million-ton-per-year (600,000 b/d) annual increase in national production during the past four years. In 1976, Samotlor produced 110 million tons (2.2 million b/d), nearly 35 percent more than Romashkino's greatest annual output. It is scheduled to peak at 130 million tons (2.6 million b/d) in 1977-78, and no new fields even remotely comparable in size have been discovered to maintain production increases.

USSR: Oil Production Plans and Fulfillment

	Crude Oil		Crude Oil and Gas Condensate	
	Million Tons	Million b/d	Million Tons	Million b/d
1971				
Plan	371	7.42	N.A.	N.A.
Actual	371.8	7.44	377.1	7.54
1972				
Plan	395	7.90	N.A.	N.A.
Actual	393.8	7.88	400.4	8.01
1973				
Plan	429	8.58	N.A.	N.A.
Actual	421.4	8.43	429.0	8.58
1974				
Plan	461	9.22	N.A.	N.A.
Actual	450.6	9.01	458.9	9.18
1975				
Plan	496	9.92	505	10.10
Actual	481.8	9.64	490.8	9.82
1976				
Plan	510.6	10.21	520.6	10.41
Actual	N.A.	N.A.	519.7	10.39
1977				
Plan	N.A.	N.A.	550	11.0
Projected			540-550	10.8-11.0
1980				
Plan	N.A.	N.A.	640	12.8
Projected			550-600	11-12

For the next decade at least, any growth in output, including that needed to offset declines in older fields (including Samotlor after 1980), must come from many smaller West Siberian fields.

The 1976-80 plan, as originally proposed, called for oil production to reach 620-640 million tons (12.4-12.8 million b/d) in 1980. West Siberia's

USSR: Oil Production Plans and Fulfillment



573396 7-77

[1]Including gas condensate.

goal--300-310 million tons (6.0-6.2 million b/d)--is almost half of that for total national output in 1980. Despite increasingly apparent problems in the oil industry--oil fields approaching exhaustion, inadequate exploration drilling, no new giant discoveries since Samotlor in 1965, and growing need for modern Western exploration and production equipment and technology--the 1980 goal was cited as 640 million tons (12.8 million b/d) in October 1976 by the Deputy Chairman of the Council of Ministers.

Why this goal was set at the upper end of the original range, when the many difficulties confronting the industry would seem to dictate a lower figure, remains a mystery. Perhaps the hierarchy believes that the higher goal will spur the oil industry to greater efforts. At any rate, for the reasons stated in the discussion of regional production, it seems unlikely that the goal can be attained.

The Soviets recognize that long-range prospects for oil production have dimmed during the past decade. In 1967, Soviet sources projected oil production in the year 2000 at 1-1.15 billion tons (20-23 million b/d). In 1977, a high-level Soviet economist stated that projections for oil production at the end of the century have been scaled down to 800-900 million tons (16-18 million b/d). This reduction probably was prompted in part by a reassessment of available oil reserves and in part by difficult production and transport problems in the regions from which future production growth must come.

Oil Production and Development of Fields

Throughout its history the Soviet petroleum industry has depended heavily on a single region--in some cases on a single large field such as Romashkino or Samotlor--for growth in production. From World War II through 1970, the increase in Soviet oil output came first from the old fields around the Caspian Sea (near Baku in Azerbaydzhan SSR), and beginning in the 1950s, from large fields in the Tatar and Bashkir ASSRs and in Kuybyshev Oblast of the Urals-Volga region. Since 1970, nearly all output growth has come from West Siberia, primarily from the giant Samotlor field. Thus far, no new large successor has been found to ensure future growth.

The Impending Decline of the Urals-Volga

The Urals-Volga region still is the leading producer of oil in the USSR but will be surpassed by West Siberia in 1977 or 1978. In the mid-1960s the Urals-Volga accounted for about 70 percent of total Soviet oil output. Major fields in this region have been producing for more than 25 years and are rapidly approaching depletion.

USSR: Crude Oil[1] Production, by Region

Million barrels per day

Region	1965	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976[2]
Total USSR	**4.86**	**7.06**	**7.54**	**8.01**	**8.58**	**9.18**	**9.82**	**10.39**
Western region and Urals	4.51	5.80	5.90	5.97	5.98	5.97	6.00	5.89
Urals-Volga	3.48	4.17	4.23	4.31	4.40	4.44	4.50	4.48
Tatar	1.53	2.01	2.02	2.04	2.06	2.07	2.07	2.05
Bashkir	0.88	0.81	0.80	0.80	0.81	0.80	0.81	0.80
Kuybyshev	0.67	0.70	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.70	0.69	0.67
Perm'	0.20	0.32	0.34	0.36	0.39	0.41	0.44	0.46
Orenburg	0.05	0.15	0.17	0.19	0.21	0.23	0.24	0.25
Lower Volga	0.12	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.14
Udmurt	0	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.08
Saratov	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
Komi	0.04	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.17
Belorussia	Negl	0.08	0.11	0.12	0.14	0.16	0.16	0.17
North Caucasus	0.41	0.68	0.72	0.69	0.59	0.53	0.47	0.40
Azerbaydzhan	0.43	0.40	0.38	0.37	0.36	0.36	0.34	0.33
Ukraine	0.15	0.27	0.28	0.28	0.27	0.25	0.23	0.23
Other[3]	Negl	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.16	0.11
Eastern region	0.35	1.26	1.64	2.04	2.60	3.21	3.82	4.50
West Siberia	0.02	0.63	0.90	1.25	1.75	2.33	2.96	3.63
Central Asia	0.28	0.58	0.66	0.71	0.76	0.79	0.81	0.80
Mangyshlak	0.04	0.21	0.26	0.30	0.34	0.38	0.40	0.40
Emba	Negl	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07
Turkmen	0.19	0.29	0.31	0.32	0.32	0.31	0.31	0.30
Other	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
Sakhalin	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04
Other[3]	Negl	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.03

1. Including gas condensate.
2. Preliminary estimate.
3. Chiefly gas condensate produced by the Ministry of the Gas Industry.

Waterflooding has been used since the initiation of production in most of these fields--as is common practice in the USSR--to maintain and/or increase formation pressure and to increase well flows. In a number of fields, large volumes of water have been injected at high pressures, damaging reservoirs and reducing the amount of recoverable oil. In the mid-1960s the water cut in total fluid recovery began to rise substantially and use of pumps became necessary to increase fluid flow and to maintain oil output. In the late 1960s output began to decline in Bashkir and threatened

to do likewise in the other parts of the Urals-Volga. In 1971, however, the US removed trade controls on high-capacity submersible pumps, and since then the USSR has imported from US firms 1,000 pumps with a total fluid lifting capacity of more than 3 million b/d. These pumps stabilized oil production in the Urals-Volga, but, as the water cut in total fluid increases, oil production will decline unless there is a comparable increase in the capacity of fluid lifting equipment. Such an increase in lifting capacity seems unlikely, given competing demands on the limited capacity of equipment producers.

In some newer producing areas of the Urals-Volga, such as Orenburg and Udmurt, oil output will rise, but not nearly enough to offset the probable decline in the large, older fields. Optimistically, output in the three major producing areas of the Urals-Volga–Tatar, Bashkir, and Kuybyshev--will fall by only the 36 million tons (720,000 b/d) called for in the 1980 plan when compared with 1975. Depending upon how fast the water cut rises, Urals-Volga production in 1980 probably will range somewhere between 175 and 200 million tons (3.5 and 4 million b/d) compared with 225 million tons (4.5 million b/d) in 1975.

The Tatar SSR accounts for roughly half of the oil output of the Urals-Volga region. Despite the development of many small fields in the past decade, about 80 million tons (1.6 million b/d) of the total output of about 105 million tons (2.1 million b/d) comes from the supergiant Romashkino field. Water injection in this field has been increasing steadily, reaching a total of almost 150 million tons (3 million b/d) in 1975. As a result, the total volume of fluid that must be lifted to produce any given quantity of oil has also been increasing. The average oil output per producing well declined from almost 23,000 tons (460 b/d) in 1970 to roughly 10,000 tons (208 b/d) in 1975. Over the past eight years production from the field has been maintained at a constant level by in-fill drilling and narrow spacing of producing and injection wells combined with the use of surfactants and other chemicals in conjunction with waterflooding.

The Rise of West Siberia

Since the early 1970s the bulk of the increase in crude oil production has come from West Siberia, where commercial output began in 1964. Sizable production increases were expected from the oilfields in the Mangyshlak Peninsula in western Kazakhstan, but output there has not risen nearly as fast as anticipated because of improper waterflooding procedures and complicated drilling problems.

West Siberia is crucial to the Soviet effort to continue raising oil output. All of the increase in Soviet production planned for 1980 is to come from West Siberia, where output is to rise from almost 150 million

USSR: Crude Oil Production,[1] by Major Field



[1] Including gas condensate.

[2] Estimated.

573394 7-77

USSR: Distribution of Crude Oil Production[1]



[1] Including gas condensate.

573393 7-77

tons (3 million b/d) in 1975 to 300-310 million tons (6.0-6.2 million b/d) in 1980. This goal is considerably higher than an earlier target of 230-260 million tons (4.6-5.2 million b/d). During 1977-80, increases in oil production will depend on rapidly developing many smaller new fields while maintaining peak output at the Samotlor field. Available Soviet data on West Siberian oilfields scheduled for production by 1980 indicate that maximum regional production would approximate 290 million tons to (5.8 million b/d) if all fields were to reach their maximums at the same time. However, some of West Siberian fields have already peaked and are now on the decline; others will peak before or after 1980. The Shaim fields, which began production in 1964, are well past their peak and have water cuts exceeding 50 percent. Ust-Balyk, the second largest West Siberian field, is also declining, but development of a new producing zone may prolong its life.

Although new fields are being discovered in West Siberia, no giant fields have been found comparable to Samotlor, which has a production capacity of about 130 million tons (2.6 million b/d) that probably will be reached in 1977-78. Present development plans call for Samotlor to maintain maximum output for four years. These plans, however, depend on the use of high-capacity submersible pumps and on drilling a large number of additional wells to maintain production at its maximum.

In general, West Siberian fields appear to respond poorly to production techniques that worked well in the Urals-Volga region. At Romashkino, it took 18 years before the water cut rose to 10 percent; at Samotlor this share of water in total fluid produced was reached in about 3 years. In the Urals-Volga, submersible pumps last up to a year without service; at Samotlor, because of silt and salt in the oil and water, they must be replaced after only 60 days of operation. In recent months the USSR has begun to negotiate with US and other Western firms to undertake an extensive gas-lift program to cope with rising fluid lifting requirements and to extend the producing life of the Samotlor field.

The ambitious plans for West Siberia in 1980 do not appear attainable because of the extensive drilling that will be required--30 million meters during 1976-80 compared with 9.5 million in 1971-75--and the need to place six to eight new oil deposits in production each year of the current five-year plan. West Siberian oil production in 1980 is more likely to be on the order of 250-260 million tons (5.0 to 5.2 million b/d) rather than the 300-310 million tons (6.0-6.2 million b/d) planned.

Frontier Zones for Oil Production

Geological conditions favorable to large future discoveries of oil exist over much of the Arctic offshore regions (Barents, Kara, East Siberian, and

Chukchi Sea basins), in the East Siberian lowlands, in deep structures in the Caspian Sea, and perhaps off Kamchatka and Sakhalin in the Sea of Okhotsk. Production from most of these areas, however, is at least a decade away. The technology to cope with pack ice such as will be encountered in the offshore Arctic seas has not been developed as yet, even in the West. Thus, development of these areas is unlikely before the end of the 1980s at the earliest.

Operating conditions are more favorable in the East Siberian lowlands than in Arctic offshore areas, but the severe climate, extensive permafrost, great distance from energy consuming centers in the western USSR, and difficult transport problems will restrict the pace of development when oil is found. To date no commercial-scale discoveries of oil have been made.

In the offshore area around Sakhalin, Japanese firms are working on a cooperative venture with the Soviets to explore for and develop oil deposits. The exploration program is at least one year behind schedule, and potential oil production does not appear to be as promising as the Soviets originally estimated. Weather and ice conditions in this area are harsher than in the North Sea, where development of commercial-scale production took about 10 years. Significant production from the Sakhalin area is unlikely before the mid-1980s.

Soviet Production Methods

Over the past 20 years the Soviet Union has consistently claimed that, because of advanced practices, it recovers a much higher percentage of the original oil in place than does the United States or other Western oil producing countries. The Soviets attribute their high recovery rates to their production practices, especially the early employment of high-pressure water injection. Now that many of the Soviet fields have been in production for 20 years or more, it is becoming apparent to them that recovery will be much less than originally estimated. In the Urals-Volga area, for example, Soviet engineers cut their recovery estimates from 51 percent in 1960 to 44 percent in 1970. Further revisions downward probably will be made.

Water-Injection Pressure Maintenance

The most important Soviet oil production technique in recent years has been the widespread use of water injection to maintain a rapid flow of oil through the reservoir to the producing wells. Since World War II, the Soviets have begun water injection in new fields soon after oil production starts and continue the practice throughout the life of these fields. Water-injected fields accounted for more than half the oil produced in the USSR as early as 1955, more than 66 percent in 1960, and more than 80 percent in 1976.

The water-injection program has enabled the Soviets to minimize their initial oil field investment. By using forced water injection they can obtain a much higher initial level of output per well than would be possible under Western practice. The higher output per well means that, at least initially, the Soviets need fewer producing wells to achieve a given level of output. In some fields the Soviets have used water flooding to raise the pressure in the reservoir enough to make the oil flow to the surface when it otherwise would not. This practice also temporarily eliminates the need for costly pumping equipment.

While these practices yield high production rates in the early years of an oil field's life, problems develop as the fields age. Injected water breaks through the oil-bearing formations into the producing wells. When this happens, additional wells must be drilled (in-fill drilling) to locate the oil, or expensive pumps must be installed to lift the large volumes of fluid (water and oil) needed to maintain oil production.

Soviet reservoir engineers first used this approach to waterflooding in 1948 at Tuimazy in the Bashkir ASSR. Water was injected not only along the edges of the oil pool but also through interior rows of injector wells that paralleled and crisscrossed the field. Since 1967, a thin network of wells located on 100 hectare blocks has been used for most new field development. The water volumes injected often exceed the void space of the oil produced, and the injection pressures raise normal formation pressures.

In recent years, submersible (Reda-type) centrifugal high-volume pumps have been used to maintain the oil flow from water-injected fields. Although oil is quickly removed from highly permeable rock as the waterflood sweeps through, considerable amounts of oil are left behind in less permeable "pillars." The use of submersible pumps combined with water injection eventually causes coning, i.e., fingers of water break through to the producing wells, bypassing much oil.

When the water cut of the fluid produced from the original network of wells becomes excessive, the field usually has to be redrilled. Smaller well spacing patterns of 50 hectares, 25 hectares, 12 hectares, and six hectares are used for each successive development stage. The Romashkino field has been redrilled four times, and in each phase a smaller well spacing pattern was used to capture the bypassed oil.

The total impact of these practices on oil recovery in the larger Urals-Volga and West Siberian fields before 1974 cannot be fully assessed because of limited data. In 1974, however, several prominent Soviet leaders and reservoir experts admitted that many mistakes were made at Tuimazy

and at numerous other fields where the same exploitation methods were applied. These fields were not uniform in terms of porosity, permeability, and rock composition, and the Soviet engineers were late to recognize the importance of these factors in designing waterflood operations. As a consequence much oil has been lost. Soviet methods definitely led to premature coning and water break-throughs at Samotlor in 1972 and at six or seven other large West Siberian fields. How much of the decline in expected recovery rates stems from this kind of damage is uncertain; the original estimates for recovery appeared overly optimistic even with ideal reservoir management.

Failure to treat ground water and surface water used in most waterflood projects has also created reservoir problems. Bottom-hole temperatures and the oil recovery factors were lowered by injecting cold surface water into the Uzen-Zhetibay reservoirs of the Mangyshlak Peninsula, as well as those at Samotlor and Ust Balyk in West Siberia. Injection of untreated water has led to excessive salt formation in well bores and downhole pumping equipment in West Siberia. Organic material and dissolved gases in untreated surface waters injected into hot oil reservoirs has also caused prolific bacteria growth that reduces rock porosity.

Oil Recovery

In the 1950s and early 1960s, Soviet engineers believed that their practices would result in much higher recovery rates than were prevalent in the West. As late as 1960 they still believed that they would recover nearly 50 percent of the original oil in place in the Urals-Volga region. These beliefs are now in question.

The average rate of recovery in the US remains at 32-33 percent, despite great improvements in technology and equipment in recent years. Soviet planners apparently began to question planned recovery factors after the Oil Ministry requested increased imports of US technology and equipment after 1971.

In 1974, N. K. Baibakov, V. D. Shashin, A. P. Krilov, and other ranking officials spoke out on the oil recovery problem underscoring that "it lies at the heart of the reserve issue." A Soviet study on changing oil recovery rates for $A+B+C_1$ reserves in 1960-70 noted that the average annual rate of decline in the expected recovery factor was four-tenths of a percentage point during 1960-65, but it increased sharply to nine-tenths of a percentage point during 1966-70. The Soviets now admit that many large fields, including Samotlor, will not reach their planned recovery rates.

Some Soviet analysts ascribe the problems to a poor understanding of the reservoirs at the time development plans are made. Poor seismic and well-logging equipment often prohibits the collection of good data. Similarly, the amount of damage from water breakthroughs in the largest reservoirs is not known precisely even by the Soviets; scattered published data suggest that they perceive the problem to be very widespread and increasing. Water production accounted for almost 50 percent of total fluid output in 1975, according to several Soviet sources.

A. P. Krilov stated in 1974 that extension of the basic development plan pioneered at Tuimazy in 1948 to other fields was affecting these deposits in the later stages of their development. Several problems experienced at Tuimazy reoccurred at Romashkino, which is now producing 55.5 percent water, as well as at several Kuybyshev and West Siberian fields. Tuimazy is now producing 86 percent water from the main Devonian zones. According to the originator of the development plan, Tuimazy was not developed in the best possible way.

Another Soviet expert raised the point that 1970 crude oil production of 348 million tons (7 million b/d) was accompanied by 273 million tons of water (5 million b/d)--i.e., a 43 percent water cut. By 1974, the nationwide average water cut exceeded 47.3 percent, and the water ratio is expected to increase rapidly due to the age of most of the largest fields. Other experts indicate that water breakthrough between the seventh and 11th years of oil production increases the water cut from 15 percent to 30 percent at younger deposits. These observations were probably based on experience in West Siberia. Other sources note that the Shaim deposits, with stable oil production of 5.6 million tons annually (110,000 b/d) since 1972, were 46 percent water cut after 9 years of exploitation.

A 1972 study of 102 wells in certain Samotlor zones showed water cuts of 12 to 14 percent; the water production was attributed to coning and not to bad cement jobs as had been suspected. Water appeared in oil production soon after waterflooding began at the Shaim, Surgut, and Nizhnevartovsk fields of West Siberia--the only major fields opened since 1965.

A table in a Soviet study notes water produced in all the major producing regions of the USSR in 1961 and 1965.

USSR: Regional Production of Water as a Percent of Liquids Recovered

Region	1961	1965
Urals-Volga	11.5	24.0
Trans Caucasus	77.0	75.0
North Caucasus	56.4	48.8
Ukraine	17.5	12.0
Central Asia and Kazakhstan	73.8	77.2
Average (presumably weighted)	**43.0**	**44.0**

More recent statements of the water problem appeared in 1974-75 with water production estimated at 43.8 percent in 1970, 47.3 percent in 1974, and 46.4 percent in 1975. These statements appear inconsistent with the earlier data. The age of the fields, the advanced state of depletion, and Soviet studies indicating that 80 percent of the oil is recovered in 18 years at the larger Urals-Volga fields suggest that the water problem is much greater than the above figures indicate.

The Soviets are receiving temporary relief by redrilling most fields two to four times using closer well spacing. Since new wells initially produce largely oil, this has the effect of reducing the average water cut for the entire field. For example, at Romashkino, the central sector of the field produced 80 percent water in 1968-69. Altogether Romashkino has been redrilled four times, which reduced the water cut to as low as 48 percent in the early 1970s. The average water cut, however, is once again rising, reaching approximately 55 percent in 1976.

Fluid Production and Pumping Requirements

Realization of 1980 production goals of 300-310 million tons (6-6.2 million b/d) in West Siberia is critical to meeting the national target of 640 million tons (12.8 million b/d). Nationwide, the production of water was roughly equal to total oil recovery in 1975. In-fill drilling both at the old depleted fields of the Urals-Volga and at the newer West Siberian fields is requiring an increasing share of the total Soviet drilling effort. In 1976, 10 million meters of the 12 million drilled by the Soviet Oil Ministry were allocated to development wells. Limitation on the Soviet ability to drill new wells means that total fluid lifting requirements will nearly double over the current five-year plan. Producing anything near 600 million tons

(12 million b/d) of oil in 1980 with only a 3 percentage point annual increase in the water ratio implies an annual increment approaching 200 million tons (4 million b/d) of fluid in absolute terms in 1976-80. With a 6 percentage point rise, annual increments in fluid lifting would reach roughly 400 million tons (8 million b/d).

The primary Soviet strategy for dealing with increasing water cuts is the use of electric submersible pumps. The total Soviet inventory of these pumps on 1 January 1975 was 11,950, of which 8,700 were in service and the remainder were undergoing repair or in reserve. These pumps accounted for 200 million tons (4 million b/d) or nearly 40 percent of total oil output in 1976. To meet plan goals for output in the Urals-Volga, a great increase in the number of pumps will be required. A recent article said that 470-500 new pumps would be needed each year in Bashkir ASSR just to stabilize output.

USSR: Planned Crude Oil Production and Estimated Total Fluid Output

	Oil		Water		Total Fluid	
Case A	Million Tons	Million b/d	Million Tons	Million b/d	Million Tons	Million b/d
1975	491	9.8	491	9.8	982	19.6
1976	520	10.4	586	11.7	1,106	22.1
1977	550	11.0	700	14.0	1,250	25.0
1978	580	11.6	834	16.7	1,414	28.3
1979	610	12.2	995	19.9	1,605	32.1
1980	640	12.8	1,188	23.8	1,828	36.6
Case B						
1975	491	9.8	491	9.8	982	19.6
1976	520	10.4	662	13.2	1,182	23.6
1977	550	11.0	897	17.9	1,447	28.9
1978	580	11.6	1,233	24.7	1,813	36.3
1979	610	12.2	1,736	34.7	2,346	46.9
1980	640	12.8	2,560	51.2	3,200	64.0

Case A assumes 50 percent oil and 50 percent water in 1975 and water cut increases 3 percentage points annually.
Case B assumes 50 percent oil and 50 percent water in 1975 and water cut increases 6 percentage points annually.

In May 1975, the Soviets noted that electric submersible downhole pumps provided the chief means of automating oil production at Samotlor. This article also made reference to the use of imported high-volume US-made Reda and BJ pumps, which lift up to 1,000 tons (7,300 barrels) of fluid per day. About 1,025 US pumps have been delivered and about 1,210 will have been shipped by yearend 1977, on the basis of present orders. Approximately 2,000 of these pumps are made each year in the West, all in the US, and delivery to the USSR presently is restricted to about 30 pumps per month because of limited capacity, backorders, and long lead times. Present Soviet-made submersible pumps have lower capacities and require more maintenance than their US counterparts.

Soviet reports indicate that the operating life of many of the Reda type pumps now in use at Samotlor is as short as 60 to 90 days. The high maintenance required on pumps used at Samotlor is due to the presence of fine silt and sand grains in the oil, salt formation on the pumps, lack of heat-resistant electric cable, and frequent power outages that burn out the motors.

Last year, the Oil Ministry, in a major change in production policy, decided to adopt gas-lift development at Samotlor and Federovo at a cost of $600 million to $1.1 billion. Excessive maintenance costs may have prompted the Soviets to acquire US gas-lift equipment as a substitute for electric downhole pumps. Gas-lift units are cheaper to operate and much easier to maintain with wire line tools from the surface. This is the largest project of its kind in the world to date. Long lead times are expected, however, before delivery can be made.

New Capacity and Drilling Requirements

To meet planned production targets the Soviet oil industry will have to increase productive capacity sharply in the years ahead. Large capacity additions will be needed to offset the sharply declining productivity of existing wells. Still more new wells will be needed to provide for growth in output. To achieve the necessary capacity additions, maintain maximum output from existing fields, and discover and prove up new reserves will require a massive increase in the Soviet drilling effort. Whether the industry can meet these requirements is far from certain, given the present level of Soviet drilling technology and practice.

Capacity Requirements

The major element causing the sharp rise in new capacity requirements is the extremely rapid increase in new capacity needed to offset depletion in older areas. During 1961-65, for example, the USSR required only 68 million tons (1.4 million b/d) of new capacity to offset depletion; by

1971-75 they required nearly 260 million tons (5.2 million b/d). The plan for 1976-80 anticipates that as much as 390-400 million tons (7.8-8 million b/d) of new capacity will be required just for depletion offset. Developments in Bashkir ASSR highlight the nature of the offset problem. The 1976-80 plan anticipates some decline in Bashkir output, currently 40 million tons per year (800,000 b/d), and to moderate this decline the Baskhir Oil Trust believes that it will need to add new capacity at the rate of 10-12 million tons per year (200,000-240,000 b/d) during 1976-80.

Tatar ASSR, the largest oil producing region in the Urals-Volga, faces a situation similar to that of Bashkir. In Tatar, during 1966-70, new capacity was added at the rate of 10-12 million tons annually (200,000-240,000 b/d), and about half of the new capacity resulted in increased output. During 1971-75, Tatar added new capacity at the rate of 13-14 million tons per year (260,000-280,000 b/d), but all of this new capacity went to offset the depletion of old capacity. In 1976 output declined in Tatar for the first time since production began. A simple extrapolation of trends over the past 10 years suggests that capacity in Tatar will be depleted at the rate of about 20 million tons per year (400,000 b/d) during the 1976-80 period.

The situation in Kuybyshev is quite similar. The problem of achieving new capacity additions in all of these Urals-Volga regions is compounded because the water cut is rising at an extremely rapid rate and the need for lifting equipment is becoming critical. In a recent Pravda article the Bashkir Oil Trust said that "simply to maintain a high level of output," it will be necessary to install 470-500 submersible pumps each year in Bashkir alone. Without the pumps the drilling requirements for new capacity would be much higher.

The sharp rise in the rate of capacity depletion has caught the USSR by surprise, probably because of the unrealistically high oil recovery rates they anticipated at older fields. In 1970, when the 1975 plan goals were first announced, the Oil Ministry expected that only about 160 million tons (3.2 million b/d) of new capacity would be required to offset depletion during 1971-75. As the plan period progressed, they were forced to revise this estimate four times, and by the time the plan was completed, 258 million tons (5.2 million b/d) of new capacity had been required to offset depletion. Although actual new capacity additions far exceeded originally planned levels (392 million tons vs 300 million tons or 7.8 million b/d vs 6 million b/d), production fell 14 million tons (280,000 b/d) short of the goal. The same thing appears to be happening now. In 1975, the Soviet Oil Minister announced that 450 million tons (9 million b/d) of new capacity would be needed during 1976-80 to produce 620-640 million tons

(12.4-12.8 million b/d) of output. By mid-1976, this goal was revised upward to 530-540 million tons (10.6-10.8 million b/d).

Although the USSR added more than 390 million tons (7.8 million b/d) of new capacity during the 1971-75 period, it still fell short of its original production goals. The shortfall was minimized thanks to the giant new Samotlor field, where the Soviets were able to add more than 80 million tons (1.6 million b/d) of new capacity. In doing so, however, they pushed the field much harder and faster than originally planned. As late as 1973, planned peak output at Samotlor had been only 100 million tons (2 million b/d). Now the planned peak is to be reached in 1977/78 at 130 million tons (2.6 million b/d). In any event, 60 percent of the increase in total Soviet oil output of 138 million tons (2.8 million b/d) during 1971-75 came from Samotlor.

During 1976-80 Samotlor will provide a production increase of only about 43 million tons (860,000 b/d), if it reaches output of 130 million tons (2.6 million b/d) this year. To maintain Samotlor production at this level, however, the USSR will have to greatly increase its drilling effort there to offset the rapid rate of well depletion. Viewed in this way, every new well at Samotlor after this year will be for depletion offset. During 1976-80 the Soviets will have to add new wells at a much higher rate (about 500 per year compared with 250 annually in 1970-75). Beyond 1980, output at Samotlor will begin to decline despite increasing additions of new capacity.

After 1977 all growth in Soviet output will have to come from a number of much smaller fields in West Siberia, where well productivity rates are lower than at Samotlor and where the task of providing infrastructure will be much more difficult. If Soviet engineers attempt to meet 1978 plan goals by pushing Samotlor's output higher than the presently planned peak of 130 million tons (2.6 million b/d), production from this field will almost certainly begin to slump before 1980. As it is, Samotlor output this year will be 60 percent higher than the peak rate achieved at Romashkino--a similar-sized field--where peak output levels were maintained for nine years--1967 through 1975.

Drilling Requirements Escalate

The Soviet Oil Ministry is faced with steadily rising drilling requirements. The dual needs of finding new reserves and adding new producing capacity at existing fields to sustain planned rates of output growth have strained drilling capacity since the mid-1960s. Depletion of existing reserves meant that more and more rigs had to be allocated to development drilling so that new wells in old fields could help compensate for declining output per well. During this period, exploratory drilling

stagnated. Now, not only are development drilling requirements continuing to rise rapidly, but exploratory drilling must be increased sharply to locate and prove up reserves to support production in the 1980s. By that time, output in the old Urals-Volga fields will be falling rapidly, and production at Samotlor and other major West Siberian fields will have begun to decline.

At the same time, however, the limitations of Soviet drilling equipment are becoming increasingly apparent. As long as most Soviet drilling was at shallow depths, evolutionary improvements in turbo-drill design allowed steady improvements in rig productivity. Between 1946 and 1960, when most exploration was occurring in the Urals-Volga regions, exploratory rigs were able to improve their monthly average drilling speeds from less than 180 meters per rig per month in 1946 to 400 in 1960. In development drilling they did still better, going from 370 meters per rig per month in 1946 to more than 1,100 by the late 1960s.

With the move to West Siberia and the need to drill to greater depths in nearly all regions in the USSR, commercial drilling speed of exploratory rigs has fallen by 15 percent since 1960. The same indicator for rigs engaged in shallower development drilling has continued to rise, however. The Soviets have been working on improved versions of the turbo-drill that they claim will allow them to drill efficiently at depths of up to 3,500 meters. We doubt that this can be done unless the Soviets can make a quantum improvement in the quality of their drilling bits and of the steel used for rigs and drill pipe.

Because of the decline in rig productivity, the USSR will have to boost its active rig park to meet future drilling needs. In fact, the decline in the rig productivity should accelerate as a larger and larger share of total drilling takes place in Siberia, where wells are substantially deeper than in the old Urals-Volga fields and rig transport between wells is more costly and time consuming.

No evidence is available, however, to show that the Soviets have planned for or have the capacity to sharply boost their rig supply. As late as 1976, Oil Minister Shashin said that, to meet 1980 plan goals, rig productivity would have to rise by 42 percent during the plan period. Given recent trends, this task appears to be nearly impossible. Even if the USSR decided to massively reequip its drilling sector with Western equipment, adequate supplies would not be available for many years, in part because of order backlogs by Western buyers.

Selected Data on Soviet Drilling Activity

	1946	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1975
				Thousand			
Meters drilled[1]	1,003	3,534	4,763	6,740	9,261	10,972	15,116
Exploratory	383	1,449	2,540	3,200	4,752	4,604	5,418
Development	620	2,085	2,223	3,540	4,509	6,368	9,698
				Number			
Wells completed	950	2,893	3,320	3,892	4,903	5,311	6,062[2]
Exploratory	342	1,074	1,394	1,660	2,165	1,711	1,935[2]
Development (including water injection wells)	608	1,819	1,926	2,232	2,738	3,600	4,127[2]
				Meters			
Average well depth							
Exploratory	1,120	1,349	1,822	1,928	2,195	2,691	2,800[2]
Development	1,020	1,146	1,154	1,586	1,647	1,769	2,350[2]
				Rubles per Meter			
Average cost							
Exploratory	87.6	118.2	124.0	111.9	148.7	238.8	280[3]
Development	47.7	45.6	48.5	49.4	65.5	84.5	100[3]
				Units			
Number of rigs operating	430	1,119	852	1,130	1,624	1,760	1,800[3]
				Meters per Rig per Month			
Commercial drilling speed							
Exploratory	177	209	306	401	377	337	340[2]
Development	372	629	893	993	1,090	1,154	1,450[2]

1. These figures include drilling of all types: oil, gas, core holes (possibly for other minerals), and slim-hole stratigraphic tests by the Geology Ministry.
2. Estimated, based on 1974 data.
3. Estimated.

Drilling Plans

The Soviets plan to increase total drilling (presumably by the Oil Ministry) to 75 million meters in 1976-80.* Drilling in West Siberia is to increase from 9.5 million meters in 1971-75 to 30 million in 1976-80, a formidable undertaking. The Oil Ministry drilled 52 million meters of exploratory and development drilling in 1971-75, compared with a plan goal of 56 million. Drilling by the Oil Ministry in 1976 totaled 12 million meters; only 2 million were for exploration, while 10 million went for development.

Drilling of more than 70 million meters in each five year plan since 1965 has been considered essential by planners, but this goal has never been realized, mainly because of the failure of rig productivity to reach planned levels. Plans for 1976-80 call for 30,000 well completions, compared with 20,000 or so completions in 1971-75 and about 80,000 total well completions since 1950.

Goals of the Soviets for total drilling and well completion can be achieved only if they devote an even larger share of their drilling effort to development drilling. Rigs engaged in development drilling are roughly four times as productive as those used for exploration since depths are less, less time is spent moving between locations, and support infrastructure is better. If this shift continues, they may come closer to meeting plan goals during 1977-80, but they will pay a high price in the early 1980s. Meeting for both exploratory and development drilling goals would require increasing the number of active rigs by nearly 50 percent.

Exploratory Drilling

To replace reserves scheduled to be produced during 1976-80, the Soviets must find 21 billion barrels (2.9 billion tons), an amount that exceeds estimated gross discoveries during 1971-75 by roughly 50 percent. If production is to go on rising during the early 1980s, still more reserves will have to be located and proved up. The Soviets must find the equivalent of a new Samotlor or Prudhoe Bay field every two years or so.

Development Drilling

Soviet plans for 1976-80 call for 30,000 new producing well completions nationwide. During 1976, the Oil Ministry completed 4,800 wells and added a reported 87 million tons (1.7 million b/d) of new capacity. If the goal for new wells is to be reached, completions will have to average 6,300 per year during 1977-80 despite the increasing depth of the wells.

*In 1971-75, drilling of all types totaled 68 million meters. This figure includes both oil and gas wells, as well as core drilling for other types of minerals and slim-hole stratigraphic testing by the Geology Ministry.

The meterage drilled for development wells will have to rise by at least 50 percent–to 15 million meters–to reach this goal by 1980. Average new development well depths now run 2,350 meters; 30,000 well completions would require 70 million meters of development drilling even if all wells were successful. Given a success rate of about 90 percent, development drilling alone would require nearly all of the drilling called for in the plan.

Soviet Oil Equipment Supplies

The Soviet effort to find and produce oil is already enormous. In terms of material and manpower, the USSR probably expends as much or more effort on producing drilling rigs, bits, and associated equipment than do all the Free World countries combined. However, because of inferior quality and design, the productivity of most Soviet equipment is quite low, and the results obtained are only a fraction of those of the West. As a consequence, imports of Western technology and equipment are becoming increasingly necessary for the industry's growth. For the foreseeable future, the USSR will have to rely on the West for much of the equipment and know-how to realize its oil production potential, especially as exploration and development requires deeper drilling or takes place offshore, in East Siberia, or in the Arctic regions.

Soviet Oil Equipment

During most of the post war period the Soviet oilfield equipment industry produced a range of equipment and supplies that allowed rapid gains in oil output. The Soviet success was due in large part to the fact that oil operations centered on development of large fields in the Urals-Volga region where relatively shallow (2,000 meters or less) hard-rock formations exist. Under these conditions Soviet turbo-drills worked reasonably well, and most other equipment needs were met without great difficulty. This situation persisted until the late 1960s. Since then, however, severe weaknesses in the quality of Soviet oil equipment have become obvious as exploration and development in other areas have taken place.

Manufacture of petroleum equipment in the USSR is concentrated in some 40 plants under the All-Union Ministry of Chemical and Petroleum Machine Building. At least one-third of the plants are located in the Azerbaydzhan SSR near Baku, and they produce about two-thirds of all Soviet oil and gas production equipment. In recent years equipment manufacturers have been unable to keep pace with requirements, and the situation is getting worse as oil production shifts to remote and physically inhospitable regions where specialized equipment and technology are required. Soviet officials have indicated that, without greater domestic capacity to manufacture petroleum equipment, the 1980 oil production goal cannot be met.

Exploration Equipment

Frequent complaints appear in the Soviet press about the shortage of high-quality exploration equipment. Most Soviet seismic recording is still done on analog tape employing technology used in the US in the 1950s. Good quality seismic geophones and cables are in short supply. Because of the lack of good seismic data, the Soviets often cannot locate, identify, and map structures at depths greater than 2,000 meters. Moreover, because of poor recording data and a lack of digital processing units, mapping of complex traps--both structural and stratigraphic--is extremely difficult for Soviet geologists.

Drilling Equipment

The Soviets use three types of drilling equipment: turbo-drills, rotary drills, and electric drills. About 80 percent of Soviet drilling rigs are turbo rigs. The remainder are mostly rotary rigs roughly comparable with US equipment produced in the 1940s and early 1950s. The third type of rig, the electric drill, is essentially experimental. Although the Soviets have extensively tested electric drills, technical problems have not been solved. Despite the obvious shortage of drilling rigs, the Soviet rig park has remained essentially unchanged at 1,800 deep well rigs. Although the Soviets claim to produce up to 500 deep well rigs annually, this is inconsistent with their own rig inventory data.

The down-hole turbines used by Soviet turbo-drill rigs also have a relatively short life, typically only 600 hours. Because of the abrasion caused by drilling fluids, turbine vanes are quickly worn. Bearings also wear out rapidly from the harsh operating environment. Downhole turbines have three sections used singly or in combination depending on the depth, the type of material being drilled, and the required torque on the drill bit. In 1975 Soviet production of turbine sections was slightly less than 10,000, which implies that each operating rig requires reequiping with new turbines every six months.

Despite the demonstrated superiority of rotary drilling, the Soviets have basically stayed with the turbo-drill approach. There may be practical problems in making the shift in any case because rotary drilling would require large volumes of high-strength steel pipe. In using turbo-drilling, the Soviets are able to use their heavy-wall, poor quality drill pipe. Because of the weight of the drill pipe and turbine sections, Soviet rigs must be made much heavier than Western rigs. To reduce the weight of the drill strings and allow deeper drilling, aluminum alloy drill pipe, although three times as costly as steel pipe, is widely used in Soviet drilling operations.

The Kungur Engineering Works in the Urals manufactures about 80 percent of all the turbo-drills produced in the USSR. Soviet literature

indicates that a new turbo-drill has been produced that features a low speed and high torque rating. It includes a hydrodynamic jet braking system so that turbo-drill speeds can be controlled for optimum results under most drilling conditions. The Soviets claim that these improved turbo-drills can drill efficiently at depths up to 3,500 meters. Unless Soviet rock bit quality is greatly improved, however, this performance can not be readily achieved. Indeed, most drilling time in deep holes is spent removing the drill pipe in order to change bits, which last only a few hours. Soviet wells deeper than 3,000 meters usually take more than a year to drill.

Rock Bits

The USSR manufactures an estimated 1 million rock bits of all types annually, compared with only about 400,000 in the entire Western world. The quality of Soviet bits is grossly inferior to those produced in the United States. Soviet imports consist of high quality bits for deep drilling.

Pumps

The bulk of oilfield pumps are produced at petroleum machine building plants in the vicinity of Baku. Although data are not available on output of centrifugal, electric submersible pumps, the Soviets claim that about 11,000 such pumps are in operation in addition to those imported from the US. The Soviet units are inferior to US-manufactured pumps in efficiency, capacity, and service life. With the increasing volume of fluid to be lifted from waterflooded fields, the Soviets will require more high-capacity submersible pumps than they can produce and continued imports from the US appear to be a necessity.

Large-Diameter Pipe

Soviet capacity for manufacturing large-diameter pipe has not kept pace with demand. We estimate that during 1971-75 the USSR produced 11 million tons of large-diameter pipe (20 inches and larger), of which about 7 million tons were 40-inch diameter and larger. Total demand for large-diameter pipe during this period approximated 17 million tons, requiring 6 million tons of imports. Present plans call for construction of 36,500 kilometers of gas pipelines and 18,500 kilometers of oil pipelines during 1976-80. Pipe production capacity is scheduled to rise by at least one-third during the five-year period, but steel output is lagging and such a rise will be difficult. Even if production rises by the planned percentage, at least 4-5 million tons of pipe will have to be imported if the planned pipelines are to be completed.

Production of large-diameter pipe is concentrated in five major plants; the largest is at Chelyabinsk in the Urals. Most of the increase in pipeline production capacity is to come from two new plants. One is being built

at Vyksa in Gorkiy Oblast (Urals) to produce up to 2 million tons of pipe up to 48 inches in diameter. A second is to be constructed in West Siberia to produce pipe of 48-inch and 56-inch diameter.

Offshore Technology

The most obvious deficiency in Soviet equipment manufacture is the lack of modern offshore technology. Although the USSR has produced oil from offshore fields in the Caspian Sea for more than 20 years, most drilling and production has been conducted from man made islands or fixed trestles extending from the shore. At present the USSR has two modern and two obsolete jack-up drilling platforms, all operating in the Caspian Sea. Plans call for the number of mobile offshore platforms to reach 12 by 1980, including at least two semisubmersibles. It is doubtful that this goal can be reached; only three have been built in the past 10 years. The obsolete jack-ups--the Apsheron and the Azerbaydzhan--can drill to depths of 1,800 meters and 3,000 meters, respectively, in no more than 20 meters of water. The modern jack-ups include the Dutch-built Khazar and the Soviet-built Baky. Both rigs are rated for a maximum drilling depth of 6,000 meters in about 60 meters of water. A new rig, similar to the Baky, is being completed and should be ready for fitting out and testing this summer.

Imported Equipment

During 1972-76, Soviet orders of Western oil and gas equipment and technology (excluding large-diameter line pipe) totaled about $3.1 billion. The US domestic share was $550 million. US foreign subsidiaries provide a large share of the remainder. The bulk of the orders were for pipeline equipment, primarily for expansion of the gas pipeline network. Without these imports the rapid growth of Soviet gas production would not have been possible.

Soviet Orders from the US
1972-76

	Million US $
Total	**550**
Orenburg gas pipeline project	250
Other gas pipeline equipment	33
Submersible oil well pumps	148
Offshore equipment	40
Exploration and logging equipment	21
Oil pipeline equipment	21
Drilling equipment and drill bits	14
Refining equipment	9
Gas well completion equipment	8
Miscellaneous	6

USSR: Orders of Western Oil and Gas Equipment[1]



1. Excludes imports of large-diameter line pipe, which totaled an additional $4 billion during 1972-76.

573395 7-77

Imports of high-capacity, submersible oil well pumps from the US also have been invaluable. During 1971-75, as the water cut in total fluid (oil and water) recovery rose primarily because of extensive waterflooding, these pumps increased fluid lift capacity to permit a rise in oil output of at least 1 million b/d. Other important orders from the US, Japan, and Western Europe include equipment for exploration, drilling, and refining.

The Soviets are aware that an extensive oil exploration program must be implemented in permafrost areas of East Siberia, in offshore areas of the Barents and Kara Seas, and in the deeper onshore formations of the Caspian depression. Since Soviet geophysical equipment is inadequate for this effort, seismic equipment and digital computerized recording units are being bought from the West. Offshore technology and equipment are also being sought in large amounts. Contracts have been placed with Western firms for facilities to manufacture offshore oil drilling equipment for use in deep water of the Caspian Sea, and negotiations are underway to buy semisubmersible offshore platforms, subsea production equipment, and drillships.

The largest order being negotiated at the present time is for gas-lift equipment to improve the efficiency of oil production at the Samotlor and Fedorov oilfields in West Siberia. This package, which is currently valued at about $1 billion, includes automated surface equipment for collecting gas and separating it from oil, compressors for pumping the gas back into oil wells, and downhole equipment for monitoring the flow of compressed gas at the bottom of the wells.

In addition to items already mentioned, the Soviet oil industry will need to import the following:

(1) Rotary rigs, drill pipe, and casing. The domestic supply of drill pipe and casing is not adequate in size, quantity, and quality required for field development, especially in cold climates and under difficult conditions. As the requirement to drill to greater depths increases, both onshore and offshore, the USSR will have to shift increasingly to rotary rigs and high-quality drill pipe, most of which will have to come from the West.

(2) Multiple completion equipment. As this type of equipment is relatively scarce in the USSR, in many fields separate holes must be drilled at a single site where separate producing zones exist. Multizone well completions permit important economies in reduced drilling costs and savings in casing.

(3) Secondary and tertiary recovery technology. The USSR is preparing to undertake a high-priority program to increase yields from

producing fields through intensive use of enhanced recovery techniques. Technical agreements have been signed with US oil companies to assist in this development. Soviet experience with enhanced recovery techniques is very limited, although every major secondary and tertiary method has been tried on an experimental or pilot plant basis.

Soviet Reserves of Crude Oil

The size of the USSR's reserves is uncertain because of definitional problems as well as secrecy. Our estimate is that current Soviet proved oil reserves are at the most 30-35 billion barrels (4.1-4.8 billion tons), roughly comparable with those of the United States. Soviet proved reserves have been relatively stagnant in recent years, and we see very little chance that enough new oil will be discovered during the next few years to appreciably improve the reserves-to-production ratio. Indeed, despite major efforts, it will probably deteriorate.

Approach to Estimating Soviet Reserves

The Soviet Union has not published an oil reserve estimate since 1938. In 1947 oil reserves officially became a state secret. Because of this secrecy, we have had to develop indirect methods, based on fragmentary data in the Soviet oil literature, for estimating Soviet reserves. Some insights into Soviet oil reserves can also be obtained from natural gas reserve data. Another technique is to determine Soviet oil reserves using the United States as an analogue.

Soviet literature provides two basic types of data that can be used to estimate crude oil reserves: the publication of periodic link relatives can be used to chain bits of information from the past to the present, and the reporting of ratios of reserves to production (R/P) will provide some information about reserves when production figures are known. As an example of the first type of reporting, one journal stated that explored reserves of oil increased 1.7 times in the past 10 years (1961-70). An example of the second type occurred when another journal reported that the R/P on 1 January 1968 had declined from more than a 28-year supply in 1966 to little more than an 18-year supply.

Reserve Definitions

An analysis of Soviet oil reserves is further complicated because, even in the historical literature (before World War II), the Soviet reserves were not comparable with those used in the West. Soviet definitions, unlike the US proved and probable reserves concept, do not specify that the reserves must be commercially exploitable with available technology and equipment.

The Soviets have defined several categories of oil reserves, A, B, C_1, C_2, D_1, and D_2. Soviet reserves in category A can usually be considered as reserves established through drilling, including undrilled areas enclosed by producing wells. Category B reserves include those in undrilled areas of a producing zone bounded by at least three producing wells but not completely enclosed. Category C_1 reserves are those with at least two wells in the producing zone. The other categories of reserves, C_2, D_1, and D_2, are simply inferred reserves not established by drilling.

We believe that proved reserves in the US sense correspond to the Soviet A reserves plus some fraction of adjacent B reserves. The remainder of the B reserves and some of the C_1 reserves would fall into the US category of probable. Much of the remainder of the C_1 reserves fall into the US possible category. Moreover, some portion of Soviet B and C_1 reserves are not exploitable with current technology and equipment.

The Size of Soviet Oil Reserves, 1946 to 1975

Our estimates of Soviet oil reserves are based on recently published reserve growth indexes (link-relatives), which track Soviet oil reserves from 1947 through 1971, and two statements that indicate the reserve developments for the period 1971 through 1975. According to Professor Robert Campbell, the Soviet Union had 2.8 billion barrels (390 million tons) of A and B reserves in 1946. Taking that figure as a base, he applied a Soviet link relative published in 1969 to derive an estimate of 19 billion barrels (2.6 billion tons) of oil in Soviet A and B reserves on 1 January 1961. A 1974 Soviet publication reported that reserves had grown by 63 percent (1.63 times) between 1 January 1961 and 1 January 1972. Applying this growth factor to the 1961 estimate yields a 1972 estimate of 31 billion barrels (4.2 billion tons).

To estimate Soviet A and B oil reserves on 1 January 1976, we have again resorted to the recent Soviet literature. A 1975 planning index published in a leading journal indicated that reserves would increase by 30 percent during the 1971-75 period. This would yield an estimate of roughly 40 billion barrels (5.5 billion tons) for A and B reserves as of 1 January 1976. Of this amount, 33 billion barrels (4.5 billion tons) can be considered reliable A reserves proved by drilling operations. We can verify this from exploratory drilling discovery rates. During 1946-75, about 80 million meters of exploratory drilling for oil were reported by the Soviet Oil Ministry. An estimated average finding rate of 130 tons per meter for the 30 years would yield gross additions of 76 billion barrels (10.4 billion tons). Subtracting cumulative production of 43 billion barrels (5.9 billion tons) during 1946-75 leaves 33 billion barrels (4.5 billion tons) of remaining A reserves at the start of 1976.

The 33 billion barrels (4.5 billion tons) of Soviet A reserves plus a small portion of the 7.3 billion barrels (1 billion tons) of B reserves roughly corresponds to the US definition of proved reserves. The remainder of the B reserves and some fraction of the C_1 reserves correspond to the US definition of probable reserves. As a result, based on the literature, we estimate Soviet proved oil reserves (US definitions) at between 30 and 35 billion barrels (4.1 to 4.8 billion tons) as of 1 January 1976 and that proved and probable reserves would amount to about 40 billion barrels (5.5 billion tons).

The US Reserve Analogue

Soviet oil reserves can also be calculated by using the United States as an analogue. The Urals-Volga and other old producing regions are roughly similar to the lower 48 states, particularly in that the combined output of all regions except West Siberia stabilized at 340 million tons (6.8 million b/d) in 1974 and 1975, and then declined in 1976. West Siberia, on the other hand, is much like Alaska, in that in the years ahead growth in Siberian output is expected not only to offset continued declines in other regions but also to allow for substantial growth in output.

Based on a close comparison with the United States, Soviet proved reserves outside of West Siberia probably total at most only 17-18 billion barrels (2.3-2.5 billion tons) and could total only about 14 billion barrels (1.9 billion tons). US output of 420 million tons (8.4 million b/d) in 1975 came from a working proved reserve base of only 23 billion barrels (3.2 billion tons).* Applying this reserve production ratio for US working reserves (7.5) to Soviet output outside of West Siberia yields a working reserve base of 18 billion barrels (2.5 billion tons) for all regions except West Siberia. Because of the intensive exploitation of reserves, through massive water flooding and use of high-lift pumps, the reserve production ratio is probably only 5 or 6. The extremely rapid depletion rate of capacity in these older fields tends to confirm use of a reserve/production ratio as low as 6. On this basis, reserves outside of West Siberia would total only about 14 billion barrels (1.9 billion tons).

West Siberian proved reserves probably total some 18-24 billion barrels (2.5-3.3 billion tons). Remaining reserves in Samotlor range from 7.5 to 11 billion barrels (1-1.5 billion tons), depending on ultimate recovery rates. Initial reserves of about 14-15 billion barrels (1.9-2.0 billion tons) were calculated on the basis of recovery of 40 some percent of the original oil in place. More recent information indicates that recovery will only reach

*Total proved reserves of 35.3 billion barrels (4.8 billion tons) in 1975 less 9.6 billion (1.5 billion tons) for North Slope reserves and 2.5 billion (340 million tons) in Naval reserves and the Santa Barbara Channel.

some 26 percent, while the urgency of Soviet plans for gas-lift equipment and the extremely rapid rise in water cut could mean recovery will be even lower. Thus, our best estimate for remaining recoverable reserves at Samotlor on 1 January 1977 is 7.5 billion barrels (1 billion tons), initial reserve of about 11 billion barrels (1.5 billion tons) less cumulative production off 3.1 billion barrels (425 million tons).

Using the same reserve production ratio for other producing West Siberian fields as that at Samotlor (8 if average recovery is 26 percent and 12 if recovery reaches 36 percent), indicated reserves of 4-6 billion barrels (550-820 million tons) remain to be exploited at other producing fields.

In addition to fields already in production in West Siberia, the USSR has plans to develop a large number of smaller fields over the next four years. According to their plans these fields are expected at their peak development to add production of 90 million tons (1.8 million b/d). Using an R/P of 12, the same as that for Samotlor's peak output vs initial recoverable reserves, yields 8 billion barrels (1.1 billion tons) of additional proved reserves not yet in production.

Conclusion

Use of the US analogue technique results in an estimate of total proved reserves for the USSR of 33.5 billion barrels (4.5 billion tons) in 1976. The estimate, on a regional basis, is as follows:

	Billion Barrels	Billion Tons
Total	33.5	4.5
Old producing regions	14.0	1.9
West Siberia	19.5	2.6
Samotlor	7.5	1.0
Other producing fields	4.0	0.5
Proved nonproducing	8.0	1.1

Temporary distribution list(for Original see box #6 to be sent Archives Jan 1979

CONTROL RECORD FOR SUPPLEMENTAL DISTRIBUTION

ELITE: 14 Jul 77 DISSEM: 14 Jul 77(ANALYST: [redacted] DD/OER

SERIES NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	DISTRIBUTION TO RC
ER 77-10425	UNCLASSIFIED	1500
DATE OF DOCUMENT	**NUMBER OF COPIES**	**NUMBER IN RC**
July 1977	4,000	STATINTL

COPY NO.(S)	RECIPIENT	DATE SENT	DATE RETURNED
	STATINTL		
10cys	DDO/EUR/OER/U/IR-[redacted]	20Oct78	
1		23 OCT 78	
1cy	Congressional Support	24Oct78	
1 cy	PPP/OCS-[redacted] VIA OCR/DB	STATINTL	
5 cys	V. IVANOV, JAKA, BUREAU OF EAST-WEST TRADE, 4333 Commerce	27 OCT 78	
1	Senator J. Bennett Johnston via CSS	27Oct78	
1cy	[redacted]	6 Nov 78 STATINTL	
	[redacted]	STATINTL	
	[redacted] via OER/DDO		
1cy	OER/I/WE	8 Nov 78	
10 cy	Off Public Affairs	13 Nov 78	
3cys	[redacted] DDO/EUR via OCR/OSB	16 Nov 78 STATINTL	
1cy	CSS, for Sen. Austin Murphy	17 Nov 78	
1cy	OER/Dep Ch DD	17 Nov 78	
1cy	CSS for Cong. Buchanan	28 Nov 78	
1cy	CSS for Senator Burdick	12 Dec 78	
4 cys	OER/U/IR	31 Jan 79	
1cy	CSS for Cong. Clarence Miller	29 Jan 79	
1 cy	OER Registry	9 STATINTL	
1 cy	Deputy Director/Dept of Commerce	26 Mar 79	
1cy	Mr. Paul Walsh	27 Mar 79	
1cy	DCB-[redacted] Via OCR	3 APR 79	
3cys	OER/DDO [redacted] /OER/PSEC	11 apr 79	
1cy		16 apr 79	
2cys	Lt. Commander Hall/CINCLANTFLT Compound Bldg. N H 139 Norfolk, Va. 23511	STATINTL	
		3 May 79	
2cys	[redacted]	7 May 79 STATINTL	
1cy		10 May 79	
3cys	OER/U/IR	30 May 79	
1 cy	NAVAL POSTGRAD SCHOOL	6/6/79	
1	MONTEREY, CALF VIA OCR	STATINTL	
1cy	[redacted] /OER/SA	25 June 79	
2cys	OER/PSD/SEC-[redacted]	2 STATINTL	
1cy	VIA OCR/PSD FOR US ARMY-	5 JUL 79	
1	AVIATION TRNG LIB FT RUCKER ALA	STATINTL	
3cys	[redacted] OER/M/IE	16 July 79	
1cy	[redacted] DCB	20 JUL 79	

FORM 2-65 2353 STATINTL

(13)

COPY NO. (S)	RECIPIENT	DATE SENT	DATE RETURNED
1 cy	Lynn Skaro USGS	25 Jul 79	
1 cy	Roger Brady GAO	25 Jul 79	
1 cy	EPA - DANIEL EGAN VIA OCR	16 Aug 79	
1 cy	[redacted] OER/IL/AS	18 Sep STATINTL	
1 cy	USAID	20 Sep 79	
1 cy	Pub. Affairs	11 Jan 80	
1 cy	Joyce - Library	STATINTL	
1 cy	[redacted] Ofc DCI	1 MAY 80	
1 cy	OLC/DDL - JOAN [redacted] DCD	5 May 80	
10 cys	[redacted]	7/11/80	
1 cy	NIC/AO	1 Aug 80	
2 cys	SCI/OSA	22 STATINTL	
	destroyed	25-6-81	
		8 Feb 82	

Approved For Release 2001/04/27 : CIA-RDP79B00457A000600090001-8

Analyst: [redacted] DD/OER

CONTROL RECORD FOR SUPPLEMENTAL DISTRIBUTION STATINTL

ELITE: 14 Jul 77 DISSEM: 14 Jul 77

SERIES NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	DISTRIBUTION TO RC
ER 77-10425	UNCLASSIFIED	1,500
DATE OF DOCUMENT	**NUMBER OF COPIES**	**NUMBER IN RC**
July 1977	4,000	

COPY NO.(S)	RECIPIENT STATINTL	DATE SENT	DATE RETURNED
50 cys	Printed special for [redacted] DD/OER	12 Jul 77	
900 cys	Rec'd in PPG/R&D	14 Jul 77	
2 cys	DDI	14 Jul 77	
1 cy	D/OER	"	
1 cy	DD/OER	"	
1 cy	EXO/ER; SA/ER; D/SA/ER	"	
1 cy	SA/ER for review for foreign distri.	"	
1 cy	OER Production Officer	"	
1 cy	Ch/D/U	"	
1	DCh/D/U	"	
1 cy	Ch/D/I	"	
1 cy	DCh/D/I	"	
1 cy	Ch/PPG	"	
1 cy	SA/PPG	"	
1 cy	Ch/TPB/PPG	"	
5 cys	NIO Reg.	"	
* 270 cys	D/U for Univ. distri. STATINTL	14 Jul 77	
1 cy	[redacted] via D/U	"	
122 cys	OER's ELITE - see attached	"	
1 cy	[redacted] STATINTL	"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy	Mr. George Bush, 5838 Indian Trail, Houston, Texas 78057	"	
1 cy	[redacted] STATINTL	"	
6 cys	Dave Laux, Commerce	"	
5 cys	OER/DAC	"	
5 cys	I/IE	"	
3 cys	I/JP	"	
3 cys	I/TM	"	
3 cys	I/WE	"	
2 cys	U/EE	"	
cys	U/SR	"	
cys	U/SI	"	
ys	U/TD	"	
ys	[illegible]	"	

353 * D/U retd 113 cys -- 17 Aug 77
D/U only sent out 157 cys to Univ. - see attached

(13)

COPY NO. (S)	RECIPIENT	DATE SENT	DATE RETURNED
8 cys	U/I&R/RE	14 Jul 77	
1 cy	D/C	"	
1 cy	C/RE	"	
1 cy	C/IN	"	
1 cy	C/CH	"	
1 cy	D/D	"	
1 cy	D/ED	"	
1 cy	D/NE	"	
1 cy	D/IT	"	
1 cy	D/LA	"	
1 cy	D/SA	"	
1 cy	D/TA	"	
1 cy	[redacted] OER/SA/Tech, 4F42, Hq.	STATINTL	
ALL THE FOLLOWING OVERSEAS DISTRIBUTION SENT VIA PPG REG.			
6 cys	[redacted]	14 Jul 77	
1 cy		STATINTL	
1 cy	The Hon. Thomas O. Enders, Amb. to Canada	"	
1 cy	[redacted]	"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		STATINTL	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		"	
2 cys		"	
2 cys		"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		"	
3 cys		"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		"	
4 cys		"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		"	

CONTROL RECORD FOR SUPPLEMENTAL DISTRIBUTION

SERIES NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	DISTRIBUTION TO RC
ER 77-10425	UNCLASSIFIED	
DATE OF DOCUMENT	NUMBER OF COPIES	NUMBER IN RC
July 1977		

COPY NO.(S)	RECIPIENT	DATE SENT	DATE RETURNED
OVERSEAS COPIES SENT VIA PPG/REG.			
1 cy	[redacted]	14 Jul 77	
4 cys		"STATINTL	
1 cy		"	
1 cy		"	
1 cy			
50 cys	DCI/PA	14 Jul 77	
53 cys	SA/ER for the following distribution	14 Jul 77	
	3 cys [redacted]		
	3 cys		
	22 cys STATINTL		
	4 cys	STATINTL	
	2 cys		
	2 cys		
	2 cys [redacted] DDI/CS		
	[redacted]	STATINTL	
		STATINTL	
1 cy	SA/ER for [redacted] DDI/EUR	14 July 77 STATINTL	
	for Possible [redacted] DDO	STATINTL	
1 cy		15 July 77	
2 cys	[redacted] OGCR 706 Ames Bldg.	15 July 77 STATINTL	
1 cy	[redacted] OGCR/ERAC (1213 Ames Bldg)	18 July 77 STATINTL	
1 cy	[redacted]	18 July 1977	
		STATINTL	
1 cy	SA/ER	19 July 77	
1 cy	Major Hansen AF&S/INT-B Rm 4B139 Pentagon	19 July 77	
1 cy	Marshall Shulman advisor to the Sec. for Soviet Affairs Rm 7246, N.S. DOS	19 July 77	

FORM 2.65 2353

(13)

COPY NO. (S)	STATINTL RECIPIENT STATINTL	DATE SENT	DATE RETURNED
1 Cy	SA/ER [redacted]	19 Jul 77	
1 cy	William Epstein OMB Office of Physical Analysis Rm. 6025, NEOB via Nanette Blandon OMB	20 July 77	
2 cys	Jack Brougher USSR Affairs Dept of Commerce Rm 4323 M.C.	20 July 77	
1 Cy 4 cys 3 cys 2 cys 20 1 1 cy Hand 2 cys 5 Cys 1 Cy	[redacted] CIA Rep SAC OSR/MEC (CATHY) - 3F45 HQS OSI/PSTD CI/PPG OER/PAC - [redacted] x5911 SHERRY, ORIA/ADMIN [redacted] IC Staff OSR/MEC OER/SI [redacted] DCD [redacted] PDB/PPG	20 Jul 77 " 21 July 77 21 Jul 77 21 JUL 77 25 JUL 77 26 July 77 26 July 77 26 July 77 21 July 77 28 July 77	STATINTL STATINTL STATINTL STATINTL
1 cy	Larry Taylor c/o Arthur Dornheim, EA/IMS Room 5315, N.S.	29 July'77	STATINTL
25 Cys 10 Cys 1 cy 1 cy	[redacted] OER is meeting Rec'd fr: [redacted] OER OER/TIF [redacted] via U/RE	28 July 77 29 July 77 29 July 77 2 Aug 77	STATINTL STATINTL STATINTL
1 Cy 1 cy 1 cy	[redacted] S/I OSR/MEA Dr. Herman Franssen Clean Project Congressional Research Serv. Library of Congress Washington, D.C. 20540	3 Aug 77 3 Aug 77 3 Aug 77	STATINTL
1015 cys	Recd in PPG R+D fr P+PD	15 Jul 77	

CONTROL RECORD FOR SUPPLEMENTAL DISTRIBUTION

SERIES NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	DISTRIBUTION TO RC
ER 77-10425	UNCLASSIFIED	
DATE OF DOCUMENT	**NUMBER OF COPIES**	**NUMBER IN RC**
July 1977	STATINTL	

COPY NO. (S)	RECIPIENT	DATE SENT	DATE RETURNED
1 cy	[redacted] NPIC via OCR/DSB	8 Aug 77	
1 cy	EXO/OPS	9 Aug 77	
3 cys	Marshall Westover, FEA	10 Aug 77	
6 cys	[redacted]	11 Aug 77 STATINTL	
1 cy	John Dorman	11 Aug 77	
	OASEP		
	Office of asst Sec.		
	for		
	Economic Affairs		
	Rm 4464		
	Dept of Treasury		
6 cys	SRS/OPD via SA/IEC -- see attached memo, 9 Aug 77	11 Aug 77	
1 cy	[redacted] OSR	12 Aug 77 STATINTL	
4 cys	DCI/IPA	15 Aug 77	
1 cy	[redacted] for a U.S. Senator	15 Aug 77	
1	OSR/ME/E- [redacted] 3F09	16 Aug 77 STATINTL	
3 cys	OER/DI/ [redacted]	16 Aug 77	
1 cy	[redacted]	19 Aug 77 STATINTL	
		STATINTL	
1 cy	[redacted] OTR via OCR/DSB	18 Aug 77 STATINTL	
1 cy	[redacted] PDB/PPG STATINTL	19 Aug 77	
13 cys	[redacted]	23 Aug 77 STATINTL	
30 cys	Dane Jaus Commerce (for members of Cit for Community)	23 Aug 77	
3 cys	Marshall Westover, FEA Rm 7124, 2000M St. N.W.	25 Aug 77 STATINTL	
2 cys	[redacted] via OCR/DSB	25 Aug 77	
2 cy	OSR/ME/E- [redacted]	30 Aug 77 STATINTL	
2 cys	[redacted] NSA	30 Aug 77 STATINTL	
	To Be Wx Rm #7112 Maryland Ave. S.W.	STATINTL	
1 cy	DER/IAM	30 Aug 77	
15 cys	[redacted]	Sept 77	

COPY NO. (S)	RECIPIENT	DATE SENT	DATE RETURNED
1 cy	C/PPG	2 Sept 77	3 Sept 77
2 cy	SA/ER [redacted]	7 Sept 77	
		STATINTL	
1 cy	Carter Library	7 Sept 77	
1 cy	OER/A/SE	7 Sept 77	
1 cy	OER/DAC	STATINTL	
10 cys	[redacted] C/PS/CSS	8 Sept 77	
1	DCI SA/DIST 2, 5	16 Sept 77	
5	NIO - [redacted]	STATINTL	
12 cys	D/OER for speech to UN Assoc by	8 Sept 77	
	USA 300 East 42nd St. N.Y. C		
1 cy	[redacted] CIA Rep,	8 Sept 77	
	Maxwell, AFB Ala.	9 Sept 77	
	DCI/PAC (Lawrence)	STATINTL	
10 cys	[redacted]	9 Sept 77	
1 cy		12 Sept 77	
10 cys	[redacted] I/IE	12 Sept 77	
12 cys	[redacted] E	STATINTL	
4 cys	OGR/I-IAD	12 Sept 77	
2 cys	[redacted] 1035 COFC	15 Sept 77	
50 cys	Rm 3520	STATINTL	
	Main Commerce	16 Sept 77	
	DOC Mr. Alan Jones	STATINTL	
1 cy	[redacted]	15 Sept 77	
		STATINTL	
2 cys	[redacted] DD/ORPA	15 Sept 77	
1 cy	DIA Naval Post Grad School	STATINTL	
	via OCR/DS13	16 Sept 77	
2 cys	Thad Jarvon, Treasury via OCR/DSB	16 Sept 77	
2 cys	Jim Curnes OASD/I-SA IEEA Rm 4C800	20 Sept 77	
1 cy	[redacted]	20 Sept 77	
1 cy		22 Sept 77	
1 cy	Col. Ingersoll Cross	22 Sept 77	
	I-5, Rm 2D956, Pentagon		
1 cy	Naval Post Grad School, DIA Library via OCR/DSB	23 Sept 77	
1	[redacted]	27 Sept 77	
1 cy	D/DCI/NIO	28 Sept 77	
2 cys	[redacted] DCI via OCR/DSB	3 Oct 77	
1 cy	DCI	4 Oct 77	
		STATINTL	

Dg/4

CONTROL RECORD FOR SUPPLEMENTAL DISTRIBUTION

SERIES NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	DISTRIBUTION TO RC
DATE OF DOCUMENT	NUMBER OF COPIES	NUMBER IN RC

COPY NO. (S)	RECIPIENT STATINTL	DATE SENT	DATE RETURNED
6 cys	[redacted] ria PPG Rep	4 Oct 77	
	[redacted] 29-Sep-77	STATINTL	
10 cys	Ruth Rodin/STATE (for Martin Kohn)	5 Oct 77	
1 cy	[redacted] ORPA/IID	7 Oct 77	
1 cy	[redacted] O/SA/ER STATINTL	7 Oct 77	
1 cy	[redacted]	11 Oct 77	
		STATINTL	
30 cys	D/OER for meeting	11 Oct 77	
3 cys	Marshall Wastor	13 Oct 77	
	International affairs		
	Dept of Energy		
	Rm 2124, 2000 M St. N.W.		
2	DCI (MD/Dir. TURNER	13 OCT 77	
1 cy	[redacted] STATINTL	13 Oct	
4	OSR REG - CHARLES	14 OCT	
1 cy	Ch/PPG	14 Oct 77	
1 cy	DCI	17 OCT STATINTL	
1 cy	[redacted] OER	17 Oct 77	
1 cy	DDCI	18 Oct 77	
10 cys	[redacted] DCD	18 OCT STATINTL	
1 cy	[redacted] /C Staff Via OCR/OSB	17 Oct 77	
6 cys	[redacted] FI/IE	25 Oct 77 STATINTL	
1 cy	Dennis Berning, DOE	27 Oct 77	
	Strategic Petroleum Reserve	STATINTL	
2 cys	[redacted] DDO/EA Via OCR/DSB	28 Oct 77	
6 cys	[redacted]	28 Oct 77	
		STATINTL	
30 cys	[redacted]	2 Nov STATINTL	
1 cy	[redacted] Staff	2 Nov 77	
2 cys	[redacted] ORPA/USSR	4 Nov 77	
20 cys	OER/DC - CATHY	7 Nov 77	
4 cys	OER/IRE	17 NOV STATINTL	
3 cys	[redacted] OER/SI 77	17 Nov 77	
1 cy	ORPA/IA 405 Rack	17 Nov 77	
1 cy	OER - [redacted] 3G24	23 NOV STATINTL	
1 cy	[redacted] DCD -	28 Nov 77	
2 cys	NFAC/Con Acad. Relations	29 Nov 77	

STATINTL

FORM 2-65 2353

(13)

COPY NO. (S)	RECIPIENT	DATE SENT	DATE RETURNED
1 cy	[redacted] NIO/NESA	30 Nov 77	
2 dy 0	Ruth Rodier State (Mr. Saunders) INR/OIL - Rm 8646	30 Nov 77 STATINTL	
2	ORPA/SOV - [redacted]	1 Dec 77 STATINTL	
1 cy	Andrew Seno, American Embassy Norway via Dept of State INR/DC Rm 6510, N.S	8 Dec 77	
1 cy	Eleanor, x7177, for DCI	12 Dec 77	
1	OER/PA FOR ROBT MILLER ERS, USDA RM 112 500 12th St S.W.	13 Dec 77 STATINTL	
1 cy	[redacted]	19 Dec 77	
1 cy	DCI [redacted]	19 Dec 77	
		19 Dec 77 STATINTL	
1 cy		21 Dec 77	
1 cy		28 Dec 77	
1	NFAC/CMR - [redacted] STATINTL	29 Dec 77	
300 cy	Destroyed	29 Dec 77 " " STATINTL	
1 cy	OD/NFAC - [redacted]		
2 2 5	DESTROYED	4 JAN 77	
HCp	[redacted] STATINTL	10 Jan 78	

Analyst: [redacted] DD/OER

CONTROL RECORD FOR SUPPLEMENTAL DISTRIBUTION

SERIES NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	DISTRIBUTION
ER 77-10425	Unclassified	STATINTL 1,500
DATE OF DOCUMENT	NUMBER OF COPIES	NUMBER IN RC
July 1977	4,000	

COPY NO.(S)	RECIPIENT STATINTL	DATE SENT	DATE RETURNED
1cy	[redacted], NFAC/Adm	10 Jan 78	
10 cys	[redacted] I&I/IR/ER	STATINTL 27 Jan 78	
1 cy	[redacted] SA/ER, for DDO	30 Jan 78	
1cy	OER/I/IE	STATINTL 31 Jan 78	
1cy	[redacted], DOE, JA	3 Feb 78	
2 cys	SA/ER - [redacted]	10 Feb 78 STATINTL	
1cy	Fred Scholle, National War College via OER/I/IE	10 Feb 78	
2 cys	Dept of Energy, Mail [redacted] I/IE/ER	14 Feb 78 STATINTL	
20 cys	[redacted] OCR	14 Feb STATINTL	
1cy	[redacted] OGC/ERAC	17 Feb STATINTL	
1 cy	SA/IPPG	22 Feb STATINTL	
1 cy	[redacted] OER/A/SE	23 Feb STATINTL	
6 cys	[redacted] Commerce Sec. Cys	24 Feb 78	
1 cy	[redacted] ACDA Staff	28 Feb 78 STATINTL	
1 cy	[redacted] via SA/ER	1 Mar 78 STATINTL	
1 cy	OER/DDC	3 MAR 78	
2 cys	[redacted] OER/A/IR via SA/ER	7 STATINTL	
2 cys		8 STATINTL	
2 cys	Ruth Rodier INR/OIL Rm 8646, N.S via Release [redacted]	10 Mar 78 STATINTL	
1 cy	[redacted] OER/I/IE	STATINTL 10 Mar 78	
5 cys	OER/D/I	10 Mar 78	
2 cys	[redacted], OSI via OER/ISIS	14 Mar 78	
2 cys	OSR	14 STATINTL	
1 cy	[redacted], OSR/SE/F	15 STATINTL	
10 cys	Martin Kohn, Dept of State, INR/REL via Ruth Rodier INR/OIL Rm 8646, N.S.	16 Mar 78	
8 cys	OER/P/A	21 MAR 78	
5 cys	[redacted]	6 STATINTL	

FORM 2-65 2353

COPY NO. (S)	RECIPIENT	DATE SENT	DATE RETURNED
1 cy	OER/U/ITR	24 Mar 78 STATINTL	
10 cys	P. AFFAIRS - IFO9	28 MAR 78	
1 cy	[redacted] -B004 CHB,	29 MAR 78	
1 cy		31 Mar 78 STATINTL	
1 cy		3 April 78	
6 cys	OER/IIE- [redacted]	5 APR 78 STATINTL	
2 cys	Mr. Bruce Everett.	10 Apr 78	
	DOE		
	Office of International Affairs	STATINTL	
	Rm 210A		
	2000 M St. N.W.		
1 cy	[redacted]	11 Apr 78	
	via OER/U/ITD		
2 cys	Wynn James, DOE via OCR/DSB	18 Apr 78	
1 cy	Capt. Hagen	19 Apr 78	
	Chief USAFE		
	APO New York 09012	STATINTL	
1 cy	DCI for Pres [redacted]	27 Apr 78	
20 cys	[redacted]	STATINTL	
	Foreign Service Inst	1 May 78	
	Executive Seminar		
	1301 Fort Myer Drive		
	[redacted]	STATINTL	
1 cy	P. VERZARIU, Rm 4224	5/8/78	
	Bur of East/West Trade		
	Dept of Commerce		
1 cy	[redacted] Pgo Center	STATINTL	
3 cys	[redacted] ER/IIE	10 May 78	
3 cys	OER/U/ITR	17 May 78	
2 cys	Charles V. Bagkin, DOE		
	for Mr. Walter J. McDonald	22 May 78	
	Principal Dep Ass Sec		
	Int. Affairs		
3 cys	[redacted] OER - NIS meeting	5/22/78	
	STATINTL		

Analyst: [redacted] DD/OER

CONTROL RECORD FOR SUPPLEMENTAL DISTRIBUTION STATINTL

ELITE: 14 Jul 1977 DISSEM: 14 July'77 pg. 6.

SERIES NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	DISTRIBUTION TO RC
ER 77-10425	UNCLASSIFIED	1500
DATE OF DOCUMENT	NUMBER OF COPIES	NUMBER IN RC
July 1977 STATINTL	4,000 STATINTL	

COPY NO.(S)	RECIPIENT	DATE SENT	DATE RETURNED
1 cy	[redacted]	23 May 78	
1 cy	[redacted]	6 June 78	
1 cy		STATINTL	
10 cys	Public Affairs Office	13 Jun 78	
1 cy	2 DOER - M. ENG Via OCR/DS	28 Jun 78	
1 cy	[redacted] OSR	13 July 78	
1 cy	[redacted] /SALER STATINTL	17 July 78	
1 cy	Dr. E. H. Curtis Code 1530 Bureau of Reclamation Dept of Interior Wash D.C. 20240	24 July 78	
		STATINTL	
1 cy	[redacted]	25 July 78	
3 cys 1 cy	Ref'd - U.S. Dept of [redacted]	27 July 78	
	[redacted]	31 July 78 STATINTL	
	via OER/DD/I	STATINTL	
2 cys 1 cy	ORPA/USSR OER/USSR	10 Aug 78 16 Aug 78	
1 cy	[redacted]	25 Aug 78	
1 cy	OCR/DSB		
1 cy	Trade Aid Br/OER	1 STATINTL	
1 cy	Congressional Support Staff	8 Sept 78	
1 cy	[redacted] OSR	20 STATINTL	
1 cys 1 cy	Public Affairs Off [redacted], C/OER/DD/I	29 Sept 78 10 Oct 78	
	STATINTL		

FORM 2-65 2353 (13)

Mr. James R. Schlesinger
Assistant to the President
The White House

Ambassador Henry Owen
Room 380
Executive Office Building

Mr. S. David Freeman
Office of the Assistant for
Energy Matters
Executive Office Building

Mr. Harry Bergold
Office of the Assistant for
Energy Matters
Executive Office Building

Mr. Frank Pagnotta
Office of the Assistant
for Energy Matters
Executive Office Building

Mr. Ernest H. Preeg
Executive Director
Economic Policy Group
Room 425, Executive Office Building

Mr. William Quandt
Senior Staff Member
National Security Council
Executive Office Building

Mr. Michel Oksenberg
Senior Staff Member
National Security Council
Executive Office Building

Mr. Victor A. Utgoff
Senior Staff Member
National Security Council
Executive Office Building

Mr. Robert A. Pastor
Senior Staff Member
National Security Council
Executive Office Building

Mr. Robert Hormats
Senior Staff Member
National Security Council
Executive Office Building

Mr. William G. Hyland
Senior Staff Member
National Security Council
Executive Office Building

Mr. Malcolm Butler
Staff Member
National Security Council
Executive Office Building

Dr. Jessica Tuchman
Director, Office of Global Issues
National Security Council
Executive Office Building

Mr. Robert P. Hunter
Staff Member
National Security Council
Executive Office Building

Col. William Odom
Senior Staff Member
National Security Council
Executive Office Building

~~Capt. Lionel Olmer~~
~~Acting Executive Secretary~~
~~President's Foreign Intelligence~~
~~Advisory Board~~
~~Executive Office Building~~

The Honorable Charles L. Schultze
Chairman
Council of Economic Advisers
Executive Office Building

The Honorable William Nordhaus
Member
Council of Economic Advisers
Executive Office Building

Mr. Peter G. Gould
Special Assistant to the Chairman
Council of Economic Advisers
Executive Office Building

Mr. Philip Verleger, Jr.
Senior Staff Economist
Council of Economic Advisers
Executive Office Building

Ambassador Robert S. Strauss
Special Representative Designate
for Trade Negotiations
Executive Office Building

Mr. Geza Feketekuty
Office of the Special Representative for
Trade Negotiations
Executive Office Building

Mr. David Sitrin
Deputy Associate Director
National Security Division
Office of Management and Budget

Mr. Edward G. Sanders
Deputy Associate Director
International Affairs Division
Office of Management and Budget
New Executive Office Building

Mr. William Breidenbach
International Affairs Division
Office of Management and Budget
New Executive Office Building

Mr. John F. O'Leary
Administrator Designate
Federal Energy Administration

Mr. John D. Christie
Assistant Administrator
for Policy Analysis
Federal Energy Administration

Mr. Clement B. Malin
Assistant Administrator
for International Energy Affairs
Federal Energy Administration

Mr. Cecil Thompson
Federal Enerагy Administration

The Honorable Anthony Lake
Director
Policy Planning Staff
Department of State

The Honorable Leslie Gelb
Director
Bureau of Politico-Military Affairs
Department of State

The Honorable Richard Cooper
Under Secretary
for Economic Affairs
Department of State

Mr. Joseph S. Nye, Jr.
Deputy to the Under Secretary
for Security Assistance, Science
and Technology
Department of State

Mr. Robert B. Duncan
Director
Economic Policy Staff
Bureau of African Affairs
Department of State

Mr. Thomas W. M. Smith
Director, Office of West African
Affairs
Bureau of African Affairs
Department of State

The Honorable Richard Holbrooke
Assistant Secretary
Bureau of East Asian and Pacific Affairs
Department of State

Mr. Erland Heginbotham
Deputy Assistant Secretary
Bureau of East Asian and Pacific Affairs
Department of State

Mr. Robert Oakley
Deputy Assistant Secretary
Bureau of East Asian and Pacific Affairs
Department of State

Mr. William C. Sherman
Country Director, Japan
Bureau of East Asian and Pacific Affairs
Department of State

Mr. Edward C. Ingraham
Country Director, Indonesia, Malaysia,
Singapore
Bureau of East Asian and Pacific Affairs
Department of State

The Honorable George S. Vest
Assistant Secretary
Bureau of European Affairs
Department of State

Mr. Robert Barbour
Deputy Assistant Secretary
Bureau of European Affairs
Department of State

Mr. James G. Lowenstein
Deputy Assistant Secretary
Bureau of European Affairs
Department of State

Mr. John A. Armitage
Deputy Assistant Secretary
Bureau of European Affairs
Department of State

Mr. Arthur H. Hughes
Special Assistant and Staff Director
NSC Interdepartmental Group for European
Affairs
Department of State

Mr. Nicholas G. Andrews
Director
Office of Eastern European Affairs
Bureau of European Affairs
Department of State

Mr. John H. Rouse, Jr.
Director, Office of Canadian
Affairs
Bureau of European Affairs
Department of State

Mr. Mark Garrison
Director, Office of Soviet Union Affairs
Bureau of European Affairs
Department of State

Mr. H. Allen Holmes
Director, Office of NATO and Atlantic
Political-Military Affairs
Bureau of European Affairs
Department of State

Mr. Anthony C. Albrecht
Director, Office of OECD,
European Community and
Atlantic Political-Economic Affairs
Bureau of European Affairs
Department of State

Mr. William H. Edgar
Deputy Director
Office of Soviet Union Affairs
Bureau of European Affairs
Department of State

The Honorable Alfred L. Atherton, Jr.
Assistant Secretary
Bureau of Near Eastern and South Asian
Affairs
Department of State

Mr. Adolph Dubs
Deputy Assistant Secretary
Bureau of Near Eastern and South Asian
Affairs
Department of State

Mr. Stephen E. Palmer
Staff Director
NSC Interdepartmental Group
Bureau of Near Eastern and South Asian
Affairs
Department of State

Mr. Charles Naas
Country Director, Iran
Bureau of Near Eastern and South Asian
Affairs
Department of State

Mr. Joseph W. Twinam
Director, Arabian Peninsula
Affairs
Bureau of Near Eastern and South Asian
Affairs
Department of State

Mr. Russell O. Prickett
Senior Energy and Economic Adviser
Bureau of Near Eastern and South Asian
Affairs
Department of State

The Honorable Julius L. Katz
Assistant Secretary
Bureau of Economic and Business Affairs
Department of State

Mr. William W. Geimer
Deputy Assistant Secretary
International Trade Policy
Bureau of Economic and Business Affairs
Department of State

Mr. William A. Root
Director
Office of East-West Trade
Bureau of Economic and Business Affairs
Department of State

Mr. Stephen Bosworth
Deputy Assistant Secretary
International Resources and Food Policy
Bureau of Economic and Business Affairs
Department of State

Mr. Lawrence R. Raicht
Director
Office of Fuels and Energy
Bureau of Economic and Business Affairs
Department of State

Mr. Paul Boeker
Deputy Assistant Secretary
Bureau of Economic and Business Affairs
Department of State

The Honorable Harold H. Saunders
Director
Bureau of Intelligence and Research
Department of State

Mr. George Harris
Director
Office of Research and Analysis for
Western Europe
Bureau of Intelligence and Research
Department of State

Mr. Paul K. Cook
Director
Office of Research and Analysis for
Europe and the Soviet Union
Bureau of Intelligence and Research
Department of State

Mr. Philip H. Stoddard
Director
Office of Research and Analysis for
Near East and South Asia
Bureau of Intelligence and Research
Department of State

Mr. Michael E. Ely
Director
Office of Economic Research and Analysis
Bureau of Intelligence and Research
Department of State

The Honorable Paul C. Warnke
Director
U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency

Mr. Leon Sloss
Deputy Director
U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency

Mr. William Stearman
Acting Assistant Director
International Relations Bureau
U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency

Mr. John Newhouse
Counselor
U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency

Mr. Thomas D. Davies
Assistant Director
Non-Proliferation and Advanced
Technology Bureau
U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency

The Honorable David E. McGiffert
Assistant Secretary
International Security Affairs
Department of Defense

Mr. Maynard W. Glitman
Deputy Assistant Secretary
European and NATO Affairs
International Security Affairs
Department of Defense

General George S. Brown
Chairman
Joint Chiefs of Staff
Department of Defense

Lt. General Samuel V. Wilson
Director
Defense Intelligence Agency
Department of Defense

Major General Lincoln D. Faurer
Deputy Director for Intelligence
Defense Intelligence Agency
Department of Defense

[redacted]
Defense Intelligence Officer
European and Soviet Political/
Military Affairs
STATINTL Defense Intelligence Agency
Department of Defense

Mr. Andrew W. Marshall
Director
STATINTL Net Assessment
Department of Defense

[redacted]
Deputy Director
for Intelligence Research
STATINTL Defense Intelligence Agency
Department of Defense

[redacted]
Deputy Director for Estimates
Defense Intelligence Agency
Department of Defense

The Honorable Robert Carswell
Deputy Secretary of the Treasury
Designate

The Honorable Anthony M. Solomon
Under Secretary Designate
for Monetary Affairs
Department of the Treasury

The Honorable C. Fred Bergsten
Assistant Secretary
for International Affairs
Department of the Treasury

Mr. Gary Hufbauer
Deputy Assistant Secretary for
Trade and Raw Materials Policy
Department of the Treasury

Mr. F. Lisle Widman
Deputy Assistant Secretary for
International Monetary and
Investment Affairs
Department of the Treasury

Mr. Roger E. Shields
Deputy Assistant Secretary
for Research and Planning
Department of the Treasury

The Honorable Daniel H. Brill
Assistant Secretary
for Economic Policy
Department of the Treasury

Miss Marjory E. Searing
Director
Office of East-West Economic Policy
Department of the Treasury

3 cys
Mr. J. Foster Collins
Special Assistant to the Secretary
(National Security)
Department of the Treasury

Mrs. Helen B. Junz
Deputy Assistant Secretary
for Investment and Energy Policy
Department of the Treasury

Mr. John Niehuss
Deputy Assistant Secretary
for Energy Policy
Department of the Treasury

Mr. Lewis W. Bowden
Deputy to the Assistant Secretary
for Saudi Arabian Affairs
Department of the Treasury

Mr. Charles Schotta
Director
Office of Energy Policy Analysis
Department of the Treasury

The Honorable Arthur F. Burns
Chairman
Board of Governors
Federal Reserve System

Mr. John E. Reynolds
Director
Division of International Finance
Board of Governors
Federal Reserve System

Mr. J. Charles Partee
Director
Division of Research and Statistics
Board of Governors
Federal Reserve System

Dr. Raymond J. Albright
Vice President for Europe
Export-Import Bank of the United States

Mr. Stephen H. Goodman
Vice President for Policy Analysis
Export-Import Bank of the United States

The Honorable Frank Alan Weil
Assistant Secretary for
Domestic and International Business
Department of Commerce

Mr. S. Stanley Katz
Deputy Assistant Secretary
Bureau of International Economic
Policy and Research
Department of Commerce

Mr. Allen A. Reich
Deputy Assistant Secretary Designate
Bureau of East-West Trade
Domestic and International Business
Department of Commerce

The Honorable Jerry J. Jasinowski
Assistant Secretary
for Policy
Department of Commerce

Mr. Edward Miller
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary
for Energy, and Strategic
Resource Policy
Department of Commerce

Mr. J. Mishell George
Director, Office of East-West Country
Affairs
Bureau of East-West Trade
Department of Commerce

Mr. Peter B. Hale
Director
Commerce Action Group for the Near East
Department of Commerce

6 copy
Mr. David N. Laux
Room 3520, Main Commerce
Department of Commerce

The Honorable James A. Joseph
Under Secretary
Department of the Interior

Assistant Secretary
(Energy and Minerals)
Department of the Interior

Mr. Nelson F. Sievering, Jr.
Assistant Administrator
International Affairs
Energy Research and Development
Administration

Mr. John B. K. Labarre
Assistant Director for Intelligence
Analyses and Net Assessments
Division of International Security
Affairs
Energy Research and Development
Administration

Mr. David A. Page
Special Assistant to the Secretary
for Energy
Department of the Interior

Mr. Carl H. Cotterill
Bureau of Mines
Department of the Interior

The Honorable Richard Dunham
Chairman
Federal Power Commission

(COPY)

MEMORANDUM FOR:
The Honorable Harold H. Saunders
Director
Bureau of Intelligence and Research
Department of State

Attached is your personal copy of our memorandum, "Prospects for Soviet Oil Production: A Supplemental Analysis," ER 77-10425, UNCLASSIFIED.

[redacted] STATINTL

MAURICE C. ERNST
Director of Economic Research
Central Intelligence Agency

14 JUL 1977
(DATE)

11 JUL 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Distribution Section, P&PD/OL

FROM: Chief, Registry and Dissemination Branch, PPG

SUBJECT: Dissemination of OER Report, ER 77-10425 (Job 425-860-77), Prospects for Soviet Oil Production: A Supplemental Analysis, UNCLASSIFIED

STATINTL

Attached is the dissemination list for subject report. Nine-hundred (900) copies will be picked up or forwarded to PPG/R&D, Room 7G07, Hq. Please notify [redacted] PPG/R&D, x-5203, when you receive the remaining copies for distribution.

STATINTL [redacted]

Attachment: a/s

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

Dissemination List for OER Report, ER 77-10425 (UNCLASSIFIED)
(Job 425-860-77)

No. of Copies	Recipient
1	DDS&T Duty Officer, [redacted] Room 6F19, Hq.
1	Finished Intelligence Project, Room 154, PSD Bldg.
1	ADP/COMIREX, Room GE0442, Hq.
1	OSO, Attn: [redacted], Room 7B24, Hq.
6	[redacted] Room 1005, Key Bldg.(1- [redacted]
1	[redacted], ORD, Rm. 716, Ames Bldg.
12	[redacted] Rm. GB38, Hq.(1- [redacted] Rm2B39 Hq
1	[redacted] Room 2B1415, Hq.
1	ICS Registry, Room 6E2914, Hq. (for [redacted] HRC)
1	OTR/II, Room 926, CoC
1	OTR/II/ITB, Room 926, CoC
1	OWI, Room 1D0409, Hq.
1	CIA Librarian [redacted] Rm 1H1124 Hq.)
1	D/OCR, Room 2E60, Hq.
1	[redacted] OCR/ISG/SAIO, Rm 1H19 Hq.
5	OCR/ADD/Std. Dist., Room GF28, Hq.
11	OSR, Room 3F50, Hq.
1	DCD/SD, Room 811, Key Bldg.
100	DCD, Rm 811, Key Bldg.
3	OSI, Room 6F30, Hq.
5	PPG/R&D, Attn: [redacted] Rm. 7G15, Hq. for ORPA dissem: (2-Director;1-ECS; 2-Sov)
12	PPG/R&D, Attn: [redacted] Rm 7G15, Hq for CPS dissem: (1-Director;1-SALT;7-CSO;1-[redacted] 1-EXO; 1-[redacted]
5	CRG, Rm. 7G15, Hq. 1-Director; 1-PDB; 1-[redacted] 1-OER; 1-USSR/EE
~~1020~~ 1015	[redacted] PPG/R&D, Rm 7G07 Hqtrs.
1	[redacted] PPG, Room 7G15, Hq.
4	OGCR, Room 1232, Ames Bldg.
3	NPIC/IB, Room 1S315, [redacted]
3	OIA, Room 1S518, [redacted]
3	O/COMPT/RES/HSG, Room 3E58, Hq.
1	Chairman, COMIREX, Room 3E14, Hq.
1	DDI Plans and Programs Staff, Room 2F28, Hq.

Dissemination List for OER Report, ER 77-10425 (Job 425-860-77)
(continued)

No. of Copies	Recipient
7	National Security Agency, Attn: STATINTL [redacted] Room 2E024, Ft. Meade, Md.
1	National Defense University, Attn: Classified Library, Rm. 30, Ft. Leslie J. McNair, Washington, D.C. 20319
6	Department of the Treasury, Mrs. Fran Lawson, Room 4308, 15th Street and Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
1	Mr. John D. Pafenberg, INA, Department of the Air Force, Room 4A882, Pentagon
30	Defense Intelligence Agency, RDS-3C, A Bldg., AHS
27~~28~~ (w/list)	Dept. of State, INR/CC, Room 6510, New State Bldg. (3-Ruth Rodier, INR/OIL; 1-John Polansky, EUR/RPE; 13-suggested distribution for Embassies in Sofia, Prague, Berlin, Budapest, Warsaw, Bucharest, Moscow, Vienna, Brussels (for Ralph Moore, US Mission to NATO), London, Paris, Bonn, and Rome)
1	Lt.Cdr. L.F. Field, Energy Management Branch, OP-413, Dept. of the Navy, Rm 4B486, Pentagon
1	Department of Commerce, SESA/BEA, Dr. John S. Aird, FDAD, Rm 705, 711 14th St., N.W. for Murray Feshbach
1	Department of Commerce, Control Intelligence Section, Rm 1617M, Main Commerce Bldg. (for Mrs. Hertha Heiss, Ch/Sov Affairs Div., Office of E-W Trade, Bur. of E-W Trade
300	Document Expeding (DOCEX) Project, Exchange & Gift Division, Room A-2016, Library of Congress STOP 303
~~510~~	Mr. Merwin Phelps, Chief, Library Services Division, Congressional Research Service, Library of Congress, Washington, D.C. 20540, STOP 303
1	Agency Archives
~~1000~~ 1500	Records Center PPG/R&D WILL MAKE ELITE DISSEM
5	Paul J. McGuire, Federal Energy Admin. Rm 1113, New P.O. Bldg.
5	Marshall Westover, Federal Energy Admin. Rm 2124, 2000 M Street, N.W.

Total: 4000 copies

MICROFICHE

1 - Camera original to Archives
1 - Diaso copy to Archives
1 - Silver Duplicate to CRS/CLD/DSB, Room 1H1129, Hq.
1 - Diaso copy to OGCR, Room 1232, Ames Bldg.
2 - Diaso copy to PPG/R&D, Room 7G07, Hq.
1 - Silver Duplicate to Microform Reading Rm., RM MB-140-B, Lib. of Cong
1 - Silver Duplicate to Photoduplication Service, Rm G-1009A, Lib. of Congress

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY



Approved For Release 2001/04/27 : CIA-RDP79B00457A000600090001-8

DPG
7607

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 205[redacted]STATINTL

Because of your interest in the Soviet economy, I am sending you our latest publication:

Prospects for Soviet Oil Production
A Supplemental Analysis

This study is a compilation of some of the data and analysis employed in our recent report on the Soviet oil industry.

We would appreciate any comments or suggestions you might have about its content or format.

[redacted]INTL

Maurice C. Ernst
Director
Economic Research

Enclosure

Next 6 Page(s) In Document Exempt

STATINTL

[redacted]

Military Academy

U.S. Military Academy, West Point, N.Y. 10996
Col. John B. Garver, Jr.
Dept. of Earth, Space and Graphic Science

Lt. Col. Lawrence E. McKay, FA
Ass't. Prof., Dept. of Social Sciences

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

U.S. Mission (INSIDE ENVELOPE)
O.E.C.D. - Paris

Sent via: Mr. David Swartz - (with note to please forward to Mission address)
EUR/RPE
Room 6428
Dept. of State

STATINTL

[redacted]

STATINTL

[redacted]

Library of Congress, Economics Div., 1st & Independence Ave., Wash., D.C. 20540 (Room 5277) (Stop 303)
Dr. John Hardt

Foreign Demographic Analysis Div./BEA, Room 705, Dept. of Commerce, 711 14th St., N.W. (Stop 206) STATINTL
Mr. Murray Feshbach

[redacted]

Miscellaneous (continued)

STATINTL

Send via [redacted] Congressional Liaison Off. (7F36) and Legislative Council (7D49):

Mr. Richard Kaufman
Joint Economic Committee
Dirksen Senate Off. Bldg.
G 133
Washington, D.C. 20510

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Exempt

SIGNAL CENTER USE ONLY

PAGE 1 OF 1 PAGES

OMF D D D D D D D

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

MESSAGE HANDLING INDICATOR

STAFF

DATE-TIME GROUP 072318Z

CITE DIRECTOR

25X1A

MESSAGE REFERENCE NUMBER [redacted]

☐ INDEX ☐ NO INDEX ☐ RETURN TO DISSEM BY: PER

☐ IP FILES #

CONF: OER 3 INFO: FILE SIO, RES, RES/HSG, ORAS, CRG 2, DDI/OS, EURA, SEE, C14, OSR/EG2, SIA, SIA/FL,

25X1C

TO: [redacted] 25X1A

UNINTEL INTEL [redacted]

REFERENCE: [redacted] 25X1C

1. INFORMATION ON ANALYSIS AND METHODOLOGY USED IN PREPARING ER 77-10270 IS CONTAINED IN ER 77-10425, "PROSPECTS FOR SOVIET OIL PRODUCTION, A SUPPLEMENTAL ANALYSIS", JULY 1977, UNCLASSIFIED POUCHED [redacted] 21 JULY 1977. ADDITIONAL COPY BEING POUCHED [redacted] 25X1A 25X1C

2. [redacted] CHIEF, SOVIET/EE DIVISION, [redacted] 25X1C END OF OCTOBER AND WILL BE GLAD TO DISCUSS SOURCES AND METHODOLOGIES WITH [redacted] REPRESENTATIVES WHO ARE APPROPRIATELY CLEARED. E2, IMPDET, [redacted] 25X1C

ORIG & AUTH: DDI/I/IE/OER [redacted] REL: EO/ER [redacted] 25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

[redacted] (BY TELEPHONE)

25X1A 25X1A

DATE: 7 OCTOBER 1977

ORIG: [redacted] 25X1A

UNIT: DDI/I/IE/OER

[redacted]

[redacted]

ASA/D/OER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

CLASSIFICATION SECRET

E 2 IMPDET CL BY: 015319

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

[redacted]

22 March 1978

STATINTL

Recently we initiated an overseas mailing program to selected academics. Unless you or your library have subscribed to the Library of Congress' DOCEX system, you probably have not been receiving our papers. Therefore, find enclosed a single copy of selected papers of recent vintage that I thought may be of interest to you. In addition, I have enclosed two relevant Joint Economic Committee prints.

Unless you communicate to the contrary, I will place you on our mailing list for future releases.

Sincerely yours,

STATINTL

[redacted]

Office of Economic Research

Enclosures (10)

1. ER 76-10577, USSR: The Impact of Recent Climate Change on Grain Production
2. ER 77-10270, Prospects for Soviet Oil Production
3. ER 77-10425, Prospects for Soviet Oil Production (A Supplemental Analysis)
4. ER 77-10529, The Soviet State Budget Since 1965
5. ER 77-10769, Organization and Management in the Soviet Economy: The Ceaseless Search for Panaceas
6. ER 77-10537, Handbook of Economic Statistics, 1977
7. ER 77-10557, The Impact of Fertilizer on Soviet Grain Output, 1960-80
8. A(ER) 75-76, USSR: Gross National Product Accounts, 1970
9. Soviet Economic Problems and Prospects (JEC Printing)
10. Allocation of Resources in the Soviet Union and China, 1977 (JEC Printing)